Chapter 5

Conclusion

The summary and the recommendation of the findings are presented in this chapter. The summary is presented based on the findings and discussion of the findings. The suggestions are given for the recommendations for pedagogical purposes and further studies.

The Summary

Writing is one of English skills that enables students to communicate their ideas in the written form. Although students have learned to express their idea with the right structures and vocabularies, students still make mistakes in writing assignment.

Traditionally, it has been the teacher’s responsibility to treat students’ errors. However, there has been a change in the higher education in which students discover and construct knowledge themselves while teacher acts as a facilitator. Peer feedback and pair feedback which gives the opportunity to the students to express themselves through sharing ideas and having interaction with their peer can encourage students to participate in the learning process.

Therefore, this study attempts to examine the effect of peer and pair feedback of undergraduate student in writing achievement. The researcher does the research in one of the universities in Surabaya, Indonesia, because there is still rare to find a study on peer and pair feedback of undergraduate students done in Indonesia.

The result of the study reveals that there is no significant difference between the peer and pair feedback in students’ recount writing achievement because the members of the Experimental Group and the members of the Control Group are at the same level in their writing skill. Applying feedback to improve students’ writing achievement does not depend on the number of the participants in one group. The number of group members in the group will not influence the result of the treatment. Students’ writing achievement will be improved with a group of two or a group of four after they get the treatment.

Besides, using peer and pair feedback in recount writing courses is beneficial to students. From a pedagogical perspective, it informs the proper context for effective peer feedback and pair feedback to be applied as a standard teaching and learning strategy.

The researcher realizes that this study still has some limitations regarding the methods of data collection. First of all, it is difficult to draw strong generalizations as the researcher only uses
one program from one of the universities in Surabaya for the study. Second, the study only engaged 26 students for the Experimental Group and 24 students for the Control Group. Third, the study was done in 8 weeks, therefore there was a close time between the pre-test and post-test given to the students. Therefore, treatment should be done in a longer of time to get more reliable data.

In spite of its limitation, the researcher hopes that this study can be beneficial for other researchers or readers who are interested in applying peer and pair feedback in giving feedback to students’ writing, especially to undergraduate students.

To sum up, although there is no significant difference between peer and pair feedback on students’ writing achievement, the treatment could help improving students’ writing skill as evidenced by the significant differences of the pre-test and post-test scores among the participants in the Experimental and the pre-test and post-test scores among the participants in the Control Group before doing the treatment and after doing the treatment.

Implication of the Study

Pedagogical purpose. Based on the result of this study, the researcher offers some recommendations for pedagogical purposes and further studies. Considering the advantageous of applying feedback technique, it is suggested that peer and pair feedback techniques become part of the writing courses at the university. Students are given experience in doing peer and pair feedback in writing courses, because peer and pair feedback which adopt student-centered concept is a very useful, less face-threatening, and interesting activity. The students are able to express themselves without feeling stressful and anxious in writing as they will do peer feedback in correcting their mistakes.

Lecturers give explanation to the students about the purpose of the experiment and what to do during the experiment before they start doing the peer and pair feedback activities. Peer and pair feedback activities do not only give students opportunity to get productive responses and suggestions from their friends but also the chance to learn a lot by reading their peer’s writing.

Lecturers who teach writing courses understand the benefits of peer- and pair feedback in enhancing students’ social interaction, confidence, and motivation. The peer and pair feedback activity adopts cooperative learning which fosters the students to have interaction with their friends.
Collaborative learning can develop students’ decision making, problem solving, social interaction skills while the students applying feedback system (Rushatz, 1992). Working with their peers will enable students to have empathy and willing to help others. This system encourages the student to express their opinion and their ideas freely as they have interaction with their friends not with their lecturer.

In class teachers/lecturers can apply both kinds of learning techniques interchangeably as an alternative in doing feedback for teaching recount writing so that students do not get bored with giving the same feedback in every meeting.

**Recommendations for Further Studies.** The objective of this research is to find the effect of peer and pair feedback on students’ writing achievement. The subjects are students from two classes of the same program. The result of this study reveals that there is no significant differences between the peer and pair feedback on students’ writing achievement.

Future study could focus on doing research on peer and pair feedback on students’ writing achievement with participants from two or three different programs from the same university. Or else, it might be possible to conduct the same research with the participants from different universities who take the same program. By involving students from different programs or from different universities, it does not only increase the number of the participants but also enables to enrich the discussion of the findings.

This present study used analytical scoring criteria to provide learners with feedback about their writing. Future study could use holistic scoring criteria with the greatest advantage of its efficiency to evaluate the data.

In conclusion, in spite of its limitation, the study is beneficial in order to understand the effect of peer and pair feedback on students’ writing achievement. Further studies need conducting to develop the applying of peer and pair feedback in writing class.
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