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Complexes of 2,6-dihydroxybenzoic acid with divalent metal ions: Synthesis, crystal structure, spectral studies, and biological activity enhancement. Shella Permatasari Santoso, Suryadi Ismadji, Artik Elisa Angakwijaya, Felycia Edi Soetaredjo, Alchris Woo Go, Yi Hsu Ju.

2,6-Dihydroxybenzoic acid or γ-resorcylic acid (DHBA) is a phenolic compound which is known to have poor biological performance such as DPPH scavenging activity and microbial growth inhibition. Combining DHBA with divalent metal ion to form complex is expected to improve its biological properties. The complexes of DHBA with divalent Ni/Co were synthesized and characterized in this study. The complex structures were determined by X-ray single crystal analysis and it was found that metal interacted with DHBA through H-bonds. The results on the biological properties indicate that the complexes have remarkable DPPH scavenging activities and microbial growth inhibition abilities. IC0.5 value of DPPH is 35.68 mg/L (231.51 μM), 9.21 mg/L (18.09 μM) and 25.63...
mg/L (50.33 μM) for DHBA, NiDHBA and CoDHBA respectively. The microbial growth inhibitory value (%) at a sample concentration of 400 mg/L is 2.9, 6.4 and 96.1 against Escherichia coli and 4.9, 16.8, 98.2 against Staphylococcus aureus, for DHBA, NiDHBA and CoDHBA, respectively. © 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Dihydroxybenzoic acids are aromatic compounds containing two phenolic and a carboxylic acid functional groups [1].
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2,6-Dihydroxybenzoic acid or γ-resorcylic acid

(DHBA) is one of the six isomers of dihydroxybenzoic acids (Fig. 1) [2,3]. DHBA can be synthesized through the Kolbe-Schmitt carboxylation of resorcinol [4,5]. This compound also can be found as one of the phenolic compounds contained in white grape pomace [6]. There are few studies that reported the biological properties of DHBA since this compound possesses poor biological activity. Nishibe [7] reported that DHBA possesses poor DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picyrylhydrazyl) radical-scavenging activity wherein 200 μM (30 mg/L) of DHBA was required to decrease by 0.200 (IC0.2) in the absorbance after 30 min reaction with DPPH [7]. The synthesis of organic ligand and metal ion is an interesting research field since the product complex may enhance the biological properties of the ligand [8–12]. To the best of our knowledge there is no study utilize combination of DHBA and metal ions in order to enhance the biological properties of DHBA. In this work, DHBA and divalent metal ion (Ni/Co) were combined to form a metal-ligand complex. The structure of the complexes was determined by X-ray single crystal analysis. Several physical measurements such as SEM, UV–Vis, FTIR, TGA and 1H NMR were conducted on the complexes. Biological activities of the complexes were tested, specifically the DPPH radical-scavenging activity and microbial inhibition growth activity against Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Analytical grade DHBA (C7H6O4, 98% purity), 2,2-diphenyl-1-picyrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and metal salts of cobalt nitrate hexahydrate (Co(NO3)2·6H2O, 98% purity) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), nickel chloride hexahydrate (NiCl2·6H2O, 98% purity) was purchased from Alfa Aesar (Lancashire, UK). Ammonium hydroxide (NH3, 30%) was obtained from Yakuri Pure Chemical (Kyoto, Japan).

[1] http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2016.06.015 0167-7322/© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

2.2. Physical property measurements

Acid dissociation constants of the ligand DHBA were spectroscopically determined by refining the spectrum as function of pH using HypSpec program [13]. Several measurements were done to characterize the physical properties of complexes. Surface topography analysis was done by using a JEOL JSM-639 scanning electron microscope at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV and Pt for sample coating. UV–Vis spectrophotometer equipped with halogen and deuterium lamp. The sample was placed in a standard 10 mm quartz cell. FTIR spectrum analysis was recorded on a Bio-Rad FTS-3500 instrument on KBr disc with a spectra range of 400–4000 cm⁻¹. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) under N2 atmosphere and a heating
rate of 10 °C/min were done by using a Perkin Elmer Diamond TG/DTA. Elemental analysis on carbon and hydrogen atom was done by using an Elementar Vario EL III. 1H NMR spectra analysis was measured on a Bruker AVIII-600 MHz FT-NMR in D2O solution. Structure determination was performed by X-ray single crystal analysis using an Oxford Gemini Dual system Single-crystal XRD equipped with Cryojet. Cubic red crystals (CoDHBA) were formed after DHBA and Co2+ solution was kept for 3 days (yield 59.1%). Anal. Calcd for C14H26NiO16: C, 33.02; H, 5.15. Found: C, 32.90; H, 5.27%. Form. Weight 509.28 g/mol. 1H NMR (methanol-d4, ppm): 6.18, 6.82. 2.5. Biological property measurement 2.5.1. Radical scavenging activity Radical scavenging activity of complex was tested against the stable radical DPPH [14]. The tested compound was dissolved in methanol at different concentrations. DPPH solution (0.2 mL, 5 × 10−4 mol/L in methanol)

11was added to the prepared tested compound solution (0.8 mL). The tested complex was incubated at 37 °C for 30 min and the absorbance was measured at 517 nm against methanol as the blank. DPPH (0.2 mL) in methanol (0.8 mL) without any tested complex was used as the control. Percent DPPH scavenging activity was calculated as: Ac−As %DPPH scavenging activity ¼ Ac 100 2.3. Spectrophotometric method The acidity constant of DHBA was determined spectrophotoscopically in the range of 200–400 nm. The spectrum measurements were done using a solution containing DHBA at a concentration of 2 × 10−4 mol/L. The ligand solution was acidified by using 0.1 mol/L HCl until pH b 1.0. Subsequently spectra of the ligand were measured as a function of pH, where the pHs were sequentially adjusted by using 0.1 mol/L NaOH until pH 13.0. HCl and NaOH were standardized before use. The spectrum data were then used as input to the HypSpec program for determining acidity constant. 2.4. Synthesis of complexes DHBA (0.39 g, 2.5 mmol) was dissolved in distilled water (15 mL). The solution was heated to 70 °C and a few drops of 2 M ammonia solution were added into the DHBA solution. The pH of the mixture was adjusted to ~4 by adding a few drops of 2 M ammonia solution. The reaction was carried out at 70 °C for 4 h with constant stirring, then the solution was cooled to room temperature and left to stand until crystal was formed. The crystal complex was isolated by vacuum filter, washed several times with distilled water and air-dried. Small needle green crystals of NiDHBA complex were formed between DHBA and Ni2+. The complex was formed after the solution was kept overnight with a yield of 46.6%. Anal. Calcd for C14H26NiO16: C, 33.03; H, 5.15. Found: C, 32.66; H, 5.19%. Form. Weight 509.06 g/mol. 1H NMR (methanol-d4, ppm): 7.41, 9.02. Fig. 1. Structure of 2,6-dihydroxybenzoic acid. where Ac is the absorbance of control and As is the absorbance of sample at 517 nm. Ascorbic acid was used as the positive control.
absorbance of control and Is is the absorbance of sample at 600 nm. Fig. 2. Spectra of ligand DHBA. Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for the complexes. Complex NiDHBA CoDHBA Formula C14H26NiO16

2Formula weight 509.06 T (K) 200 (2) Wavelength (Å) 0.71073 Crystal system
Triclinic Space group P-1 a (Å) 6.0560(10) b (Å) 7.9239(2) c (Å) 9.8330(2) α (°) 96.2566(14) β (°) 93.5196(13) γ

(*) 100.3730(16) V (Å3) 493.930(18) Z 1 dcalc (Mg/m3) 1.711 μ

9(mm−1) 1.066 F (000) 266 Crystal size (mm) 0.300 × 0.300 × 0.150

150 Reflections collected 7023 Independent reflections (Rint) 2263(0.0536) Max. and min. transmission 0.888, 0.752 Data/restraints/parameters 2263/0/149 Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.048

2R1, wR2 (li N 2σ(l)) 0.0342, 0.0762 R1, wR2 (all data) 0.0497, 0.0549

0854

2Largest diff. peak and hole (e Å−3) 0.

381, −0.756 CCDC No. 1,436,259 C14H26CoO16 509.28 200(2) 0.71073 Triclinic P-1 6.5323(10) 7.9528(2) 9.8628(2) 96.3144(14) 93.7198(12) 100.4011(12) 499.011(18) 1.695 0.943 265 0.250 × 0.160 × 0.150 6849 2275(0.0371) 0.871, 0.830 2275/0/149 1.066 0.0308, 0.0703 0.0426, 0.0762 0.888, 0.830 0.250 × 0.160 × 0.150 6849 2275(0.0371) 0.871, 0.830 2275/0/149 1.066 0.0308, 0.0703 0.0426, 0.0762 0.888, 0.830 0.250 × 0.160 × 0.150

3. Results and discussion
3.1. Acidity constants of the ligand
The acidity constants of DHBA were presented as minus logarithm of stepwise hydrogen dissociation constants, pKa. At neutral form, DHBA possesses three hydrogen atoms at its active donor groups (i.e. carboxyl group, hydroxyl groups at second and sixth positions of the benzene ring) and can be symbolized as [H3DHBA]. The first dissociation occurs at the carboxyl group yielding the negative charged [H2DHBA−] as represented by Eq. (1). The second dissociation as well as the third dissociation occur at either the hydroxyl group at second or sixth position, yielding [HDHBA2−] and [DHBA3−] as represented by Eqs. (2) and (3), respectively.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_3\text{DHBA} & \rightleftharpoons \text{H}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{DHBA}^-; \\
\text{H}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{DHBA}^- & \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{DHBA}^-; \\
\text{H}^+ + \text{HDHBA}^- & \rightleftharpoons \text{HDHBA}^2-; \\
\text{H}^+ + \text{DHBA}^- & \rightleftharpoons \text{DHBA}^3-. 
\end{align*}
\]

The dissociation of an H atom can be observed from the shape-shift of the spectra as function of pH, as shown in Fig. 2. The dissociation constants of DHBA at 25 °C and an ionic strength of 0.15 mol dm−3 NaCl were refined by HypSpec program. The shape-shift of the spectra can be observed more clearly from the insert figure of Fig. 2. The spectrum shape-shift of DHBA initially occurs at 0.79 b pH b 2.02 which indicates the first dissociation (pKa1 = 0.94 ± 0.07). Afterwards there were no obvious shifts at 2.02 b pH b 11.03 indicating that at this pH range dissociation did not occur. The next shape-shifts occur at pH N 11.03 which indicate the second and third dissociation (pKa2 = 12.07 ± 0.06, and pKa3 = 12.66 ± 0.05). 3.2. Physical properties of complexes
Several physical measurements were done to characterize the complexes of DHBA with Ni/Co. The measurements include X-ray single crystal, SEM, UV–Vis, FTIR, and thermogravimetric analysis. Fig. 3. (a) Molecular structure of NiDHBA, thermal ellipsoid drawn at 50% probability level. (b) H-bonds coordination of complexes. Fig. 4.
Surface topography of (a) powder DHBA, (b) re-crystallized DHBA, (c) NiDHBA, (d) CoDHBA at magnification of 1000×. 3.2.1. X-ray crystallographic data Crystallographic data of the complexes are given in Table 1. It can be seen that the complexes possess similar structure. The complexes were obtained from reacting DHBA with metal salt in water. The complexes were found to be soluble in methanol and ethanol, slightly soluble in water. Since dissociation of the ligand at its carboxyl moiety have a pKa1 of 0.94, thus ideally pH ~ 4 was sufficient to provide the environment for the dissociation of the ligand. The dissociation provides negative charge to the ligand thus allowed it to bind metal ion which has positive charge [16,17]. However, results on crystal structure of the complexes indicate that formation of the complex did not occur through the coordination of dissociated –O donor group of DHBA. The coordination of the complex occurred by hydrogen bonding between the nearby water molecules (which coordinated to the metal ion) with the –O atoms at the carboxylic moiety of DHBA. Fig. 3(b) illustrates the molecular structure and H-bonds coordination of NiDHBA. As can be seen in the result of X-ray single crystal analysis, the predominant synthesized complex is the one which consists of one metal ion and two ligands (ML2 complex, Fig. 3(a)), meanwhile

the molar ratio of metal to ligand used for

the synthesis was 1:1. One possible Table 2 Selected FTIR spectra of DHBA, NiDHBA and CoDHBA. Compound

IR spectra (cm\(^{-1}\)) v(O\(\text{H}\)) v(C\(\_\text{O}\)) v(C\(\_\text{C}\)) v(C\(\_\text{O}\))

DHBA 3480 2636 NiDHBA 3309 CoDHBA 3317 1685 1470 1604 1458 1604 1458 explanation is that while both complexes were formed, ML2 complex has lower solubility than ML and was easier to become saturated and form crystals. Since DHBA has low solubility in water, it is reasonable that complex that consists of more ligand molecules also has lower solubility. X-ray results indicate that the type of counter anion of metal salt (Cl\(^{-1}\) for Ni salt and NO\(^{-3}\) for Co salt) did not affect the main structure of complex. Counter anions were found not to involve in the formation of complexes. 3.2.2. Surface topography by SEM The surface of DHBA powder is depicted in Fig. 4(a). It was observed that particles of DHBA powder are not organized. Re-crystallization of 1293 1036 1291 1027 1291 1027 Fig. 5. DPPH scavenging activities of DHBA and its complexes. *Ascorbic acid was used as the standard reference. , ascorbic acid; , DHBA; , NiDHBA; , CoDHBA. Fig. 6. Proposed mechanism of DPPH radical scavenging activity by (a) DHBA and (b) NiDHBA complex. DHBA in water allowed the particles to solidify and formed more packed structure (Fig. 4(b)). Reaction of DHBA with Ni\(^{2+}\)/Co\(^{2+}\) resulted in complexes with different surface topography. As shown in Fig. 4(c) and (d), surfaces of the complexes are finer than the re-crystallized DHBA, especially the Ni\(^{2+}\) complex. The change on surface structure was caused by the interaction of DHBA with metal through hydrogen bonding. 3.2.3. UV-Vis spectra The spectra of the complexes were measured in water solvent. The complexes possessed two absorption bands. One in UV region represents DHBA and the other in Vis wavelength region indicates the d-orbital of metal ion. For NiDHBA, DHBA absorption bands occurred at 245 and 306 nm while the d-orbital of Ni was represented by bands at 400 and 700 nm. Since the d-orbital absorption bands have low absorbance unit [18] thus higher sample concentration was needed in order to observe the bands. Similarly for CoDHBA complex, the absorption bands at the UV wavelength region of 245 and 306 nm represent DHBA while the band at 510 nm represents d-orbital of Co. 3.2.4. FTIR spectra The spectra of the complexes show shifting from that of DHBA (Table 2, Fig. 3(a)). The v(O\(\text{H}\)) of DHBA attributed to the phenolic and carboxylic group were found at 3480 and 2636 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. For the complexes these peaks disappear and are replaced by a broad band centered at 3309 and 3317 cm\(^{-1}\) for Ni\(^{2+}\) and Co\(^{2+}\) complex, re-spectively indicating the presence of water molecules. The disappearance of the v(O\(\text{H}\)) bands indicates that the carboxylic group was involved in complex formation. The carbonyl stretching vibration v(C = O) of DHBA was found at 1685 cm\(^{-1}\), for the complexes this peak shifted to 1604 cm\(^{-1}\) (Δv = 81 cm\(^{-1}\)). The v(C = C) stretching vibration of DHBA at 1470 cm\(^{-1}\) shifted to 1458 cm\(^{-1}\) for the complexes (Δv = 12 cm\(^{-1}\)). The carbonyl stretching vibration v(C\(\_\text{O}\)) of DHBA found at 1293 cm\(^{-1}\) shifted slightly to 1291 cm\(^{-1}\) for the complexes. The shifting of phenolic v(C\(\_\text{O}\)) vibration from 1036 cm\(^{-1}\) for
DHBA to 1027 cm$^{-1}$ ($\Delta v = 9$ cm$^{-1}$) for the complexes confirms the coordination of phenolic oxygen to metal. 3.2.5. Thermal analysis Thermal analyses for the complexes were carried out at 37 to 900 °C under N2 atmosphere. The thermograms are shown in Fig. S2 (Supplementary data). The total weight loss of NiDHBA is 81.30% in which ~ 29% weight loss at 80 to 165 °C is attributed to loss of water molecules, ~ 19% weight loss at 180 to 240 °C followed by ~ 28% weight loss at 270 to 530 °C may be attributed to the decomposition of DHBA leaving the metal which is stable at temperatures N 530 °C. For CoDHBA the total weight loss is 76.33%, ~ 25% initial weight loss is attributed to water molecules which occurred at 70 to 146 °C, ~ 23% weight loss at 175 to 280 °C and ~ 7% weight loss at 475 to 550 °C are attributed to the decomposition of DHBA leaving the metal residue. 3.3. Biological properties 3.3.1. Radical scavenging activity The radical scavenging activities of DHBA and its complexes were measured against a DPPH. As shown in Fig. 5, the complexes show higher scavenging activity than DHBA, especially the complex NiDHBA. The IC0.5 values are 35.68 mg/L (231.51 μM), 9.21 mg/L (18.09 μM) and 25.63 mg/L (50.33 μM) for DHBA, NiDHBA and CoDHBA, respectively. Better scavenging activities of both complexes suggest that metal ion induces the release of more hydrogen atoms of DHBA to stabilize more DPPH radicals. As proposed in Fig. 6(a), the free ligand DHBA may only stabilize DPPH through its hydrogen atom at the carboxylic site; meanwhile as shown in Fig. 6(b), the complex (containing metal ion) may stabilize more DPPH through hydrogen atoms at the phenolic sites. The release of hydrogen atoms at phenolic sites was anchored by the present of metal ion [19]. 3.3.2. Microbial growth inhibitory activity The microbial inhibitory growth activities of DHBA and its complexes were measured against E. coli and S. aureus. Ampicilin was used as the standard control. The results in Fig. 7 show that DHBA and its NiDHBA possess weak inhibitory against the two bacteria strains. The most remarkable inhibitory activity against the bacteria was observed in CoDHBA. It was also observed that CoDHBA is more effective against S. aureus than against E. coli; for instance at 250 mg/L (491 μM), CoDHBA was able to inhibit 57.4% of S. aureus growth while only 38.6% of E. coli growth was inhibited. The difference may be due to different cell wall structures. As Gram negative bacteria, E. coli possesses additional outer membrane which contains lipid that hinders the complexes to penetrate into the cell [20,21]. Complex that contains metal ion is more capable to penetrate into bacteria cell than DHBA, thus more effective to inhibit bacteria growth [22,23]. As proposed in Fig. 8, metal-containing complex that penetrates bacteria cell may cause protein disruption and promote bacteria death. 4. Conclusion The complexes of DHBA with Ni2+/Co2+ have been synthesized and structurally characterized. The coordination of DHBA with metal produced complexes with finer surface than that of DHBA. Metal ion anchored the release of more hydrogen atoms of DHBA to neutralized DPPH, therefore complexes possessed better DPPH scavenging activity than that of DHBA. The complexes also showed better microbial growth inhibition against E. coli and S. aureus than DHBA. CoDHBA complex was found to possess better microbial growth inhibition than NiDHBA due to that Co ion is more capable to penetrate bacteria cell and cause bacteria death. Since the coordination of DHBA with Ni2+/Co2+ only involves weak hydrogen bond, thus metal can be easily released from the ligand thus the complexes might also be useful in the treatment of metal deficiency.
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