CHAPTER V
CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

This chapter deals with two parts namely, conclusion and suggestion. The first part presents the conclusion derived from this study. The second part presents suggestion intended for further researcher, the teacher, and the students.

Conclusion

Regarding the importance of writing, teachers, as the ones who contribute in students’ success, should lead their students to be good writers. As it is impossible to be successful without facing obstacles, the students also find difficulties in learning writing process. Therefore, the teacher could help the students to correct their errors by giving corrective feedback on their writing. Giving corrective feedback is an important role of a teacher because it could significantly reduce the number of errors of a student.

This study was conducted to investigate the type of corrective feedback used by the teacher on Senior High School students writing assignment. The data of this study was teacher’s written corrective feedback on language features proposed by Ferris and Roberts (2001) which classified language features into three: subject-verb errors, word choice, and sentence structure. In order to collect the data, the researcher asked permission from the teacher to copy and write the information related to kinds of corrective feedback on language features on students’ writing assignment to be analyzed.

The findings of this study revealed that the teacher employed corrective feedback to correct the students’ writing assignment based on typology of corrective feedback by Ellis (2009). To sum up, it can be
concluded that the teacher employed direct corrective feedback as the most frequently used corrective feedback with the occurrences 91 (84.25%), followed by indirect corrective feedback 14 (12.47%), and metalinguistic only 3 (2.78%). Through direct corrective feedback, the students receive clear correction and feedback in their writing because the teacher shows the correct form.

**Suggestions**

This part of the chapter presents some suggestions that will hopefully provide more insight into the teaching and learning of writing process. The first suggestion is intended for the teachers who are competent to create good atmosphere to facilitate the teaching of writing. The second is for the students who are interested in improving their writing skill. The last is for the further researcher who would conduct a research related to this study.

1. For the teachers

   The result of this study could give beneficial inputs for the teacher about the teaching writing in giving corrective feedback on students’ writing. It could be suggested that the teachers should be aware on language features’ errors in writing so they could recognize their students’ difficulties in writing. Moreover, it would be interesting if the teachers not only pay more attention to the errors made by the students but also the causes of the errors in writing. Knowing the problems would make it easier for the teachers to solve the problem. It is expected that the teacher should enhance the method and technique in teaching writing so the students are motivated to develop the ability in their writing.

2. For the students

   It is better to know about this study particularly related to corrective feedback on students’ writing. The students are expected to
increase their knowledge about language features so they would be aware of the errors they made. The students are expected to develop their writing proficiency to reduce the errors in learning descriptive writing in the future.

3. Further researcher

The objective of this study is to investigate the type of written corrective feedback used by the teacher on students’ writing assignment. The subjects are the students from two Social classes with different program. The implementation of teacher’s written corrective feedback for students’ different language level is equally. The advanced-levels, intermediate-levels, and novice level are same in receiving written corrective feedback from the teacher. Therefore, the future study would be more interesting if such a comparison is made.

The further limitation of this study is that no trustworthiness was involved to systematically investigate teacher’s written corrective feedback from another researcher. The researcher did not use the investigator triangulation in order to limit the subjectivity. Future studies need to triangulate the findings to become more confident with the claims made in this study.

In conclusion, in spite of its limitation, the result of this study is expected to give informative input about corrective feedback in writing. The researcher believes that there are still many phenomena that could be revealed in this study. Therefore, the researcher expects that the result of this study could inspire other researchers to conduct the study related to corrective feedback to enrich the existing study. Future researchers could investigate some more ways in giving corrective feedback to motivate the students to improve their writing ability.
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