THE EFFECT OF USING GRAMMAR TRANSLATION METHOD (GTM) AND JIGSAW II IN TEACHING READING ON THE READING ACHIEVEMENT OF GRADE 10 STUDENTS

A THESIS

By
Oei Yosephine Magdalena

ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY
SURABAYA
JUNE 2019
THE EFFECT OF USING GRAMMAR TRANSLATION METHOD (GTM) AND JIGSAW II IN TEACHING READING ON THE READING ACHIEVEMENT OF GRADE 10 STUDENTS
A THESIS

Presented to Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya
in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of
Sarjana Pendidikan in Teaching English as a Foreign Language

By:
Oei Yosephine Magdalena
1213015054

ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY
SURABAYA
JUNE 2019
APPROVAL SHEET

This thesis entitled The Effect of Using Grammar Translation Method (GTM) and Jigsaw II in Teaching Reading on the Reading Achievement of Grade 10 Students prepared and submitted by Oei Yosephine Magdalena has been approved and accepted as partial fulfillment of the requirements for Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Department Language Teaching by the following advisor:

Prof. Dr. Veronica L. Dipotodi, M.Pd.
Thesis Advisor
APPROVAL SHEET

(II)

This thesis entitled The Effect of Using Grammar Translation Method (GTM) and Jigsaw II in Teaching Reading on the Reading Achievement of Grade 10 Students prepared and submitted by Oei Yosephine Magdalena (1213015054) has been EXAMINED and declared PASSED by the Board of Examiners.

Dr. V. Luluk Priambodo, M.Pd.
Chairperson

Dra. Susana Teopitus, M.Pd.
Secretary

Prof. Dr. Veronica J. Dintoudi, M.Pd.
Member

Dr. A. Julita Priambodo, M.Pd.
The Dean of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

Hidy Surjia Wimurtini, M.Sc.
The Head of the English Education Study Program
SURAT PERNYATAAN

Bersama ini saya:
Nama : Oei Josephine M
Nomor Pokok : 1213015024
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris
Jurusan : Pendidikan Bahasa dan Seni
Fakultas : Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Unika Widya Mandala Surabaya

Menyatakan dengan sesungguhnya bahwa skripsi saya yang berjudul:
The Effect of Using Grammar Translation Method (GTM) and Jiegawa II in Teaching Reading on the Reading Achievement of Grade 10 Students

benar-benar merupakan hasil karya saya sendiri. Apabila skripsi ini ternyata merupakan hasil plagiarisme, maka saya bersedia menerima sanksi berupa pembatalan kelulusan dan/atau pencabutan gelar yang telah saya peroleh.

Demikianlah surat pernyataan ini saya buat dengan sesungguhnya dan dengan penuh kesadaran.

Surabaya, 28 Mei 2019
Yang membuat pernyataan,

[Signature]
Oei Josephine M.

Mengetahui:
Dosen Pembimbing I/Tunggal,

[Signature]
(Peng. Dr. Verenica 50 M.Sc)

Dosen Pembimbing II,

[Signature]
SURAT PERNYATAAN
PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI KARYA ILMIAH

Demip Perbembangan Ilmu Pengetahuan, saya sebagai mahasiswa Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala Surabaya.
Nama Mahasiswa: Oei Josephine Magdalena
Nomor Polok: 1213015054
Program Studi Pendidikan: Bahasa Jepang
Jurusan: Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan
Fakultas: Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan
Tanggal Lulus: 21 Juni 2019

Dengan ini SETUJUTIDAK SETUJU, Skripsi atau Karya ilmiah saya,
Judul:
The Effect of Using Grammar Translation Method (GTM) and Jigsaw II in Teaching Reading on the Reading Achievement of Grade 10 Students

Untuk dipublikasikan/ditampilkan di Internet atau media lain (Digital Library Perpustakaan Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala Surabaya) untuk kepentingan akademik sesuai undang-undang Hak Cipta yang berlaku.

Demikian surat pernyataan SETUJUTIDAK SETUJU publikasi Karya Ilmiah ini saya buat dengan sebenarinya.

Sukabumi, 12 Juni 2019
Yang mengetahui,
[Signature]

[Stamp]
Nomor: 1213015054
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This thesis is one of the requirements that should be done by the writer so that she can finish her study in the English Department of Widya Mandala Catholic University. While doing her thesis, some people have contributed in this thesis. Therefore, I would like to say thank you. The first gratitude is for Jesus Christ who always gives the writer blessings and strength to do and finish this thesis. Furthermore, I would like to express her gratitude to the people who have supported her until this thesis is finished. Special thanks to:

1. Prof. Dr. Veronica L.D, M.Sc., the writer’s thesis advisor for her time, her support and her meaningful advices that help the writer finishing her thesis writing.
2. Dr. V. Luluk Priambodo, M.Pd., the writer’s student advisor and examiner, for his encouraging comments that help the writer revising her thesis writing.
3. Dra. Susana Teopilus, M. Pd., the writer’s examiner, for her opinion and suggestion that help the writer to think and understand some parts in her thesis writing from different perspective.
4. The school which allowed the writer to do her research study.
5. All the classes for their participation in this research study: X-MIPA 1 and X-MIPA 2 and their cooperation during the teaching and learning process throughout the entire treatment.
6. The writer’s parents, who always gives their support and time and who never get tired with the writer’s complaint until she could finish her thesis writing completely.
7. Christian Wijaya, the writer’s partner, for having the writer’s back all the time and for his help when the writer’s needed it most.

Sincerely,

Oei Yosephine M
ABSTRACT


Keywords: GTM, Jigsaw II, Reading Achievement.

The purpose of this study is to find out whether grade 10 students who are taught reading using Jigsaw II technique have a higher reading achievement than those who are taught reading using Grammar Translation Method (GTM). This study is based on several theories: the theory of reading, the theory of GTM, the theory of Cooperative Learning Method, and the theory of Jigsaw technique. The research was conducted in one of the Catholic Senior High Schools in Surabaya. The sample of this study is the tenth graders in the academic year of 2018/2019. There were two classes participating in the study: X-P1 and X-P2. Each class consisted of 33 students. X-P1 was assigned to be the control group, while X-P2 was assigned to be the experimental group. The control group was taught reading using GTM and the experimental group was taught reading using Jigsaw II technique. Each group received the treatment for three times.

A quasi-experimental research with a pretest-posttest, non-equivalent control group design was used to do this research. The instrument of this study was a reading test which consisted of 30 items in the form of multiple choice questions with five options. The pretest was conducted a week before the treatment, while the posttest was conducted a week after the treatment. In order to analyze the data, the writer used t-test formula in Microsoft Excel Program. Based on the data output, the experimental group had an average gain score of 10.06 and the control group had an average gain score of 13.48. It was also found that the P-value was larger than the significance level (0.17>0.05). Thus, the Null hypothesis (Ho) was accepted which means that there was no significant difference between the reading achievement of the tenth graders who were taught reading using Jigsaw II technique and those who were taught reading using GTM.
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