CHAPTER V
CONCLUSION

5.1 Summary

This study was carried out in order to identify the ways of initiating, responding, and giving feedback occurring in the expert and home team. This study was also conducted to identify whether high-achieving students initiate, respond and give feedback more than low-achieving students.

The population of this study was second grade of a senior high school in Tulungagung. The subjects of this study were students in an expert team and a home team in their reading class. The groups taken as subjects were arranged based on their achievement. In the expert team, there were three students. In the home team, there were five students.

The data were the recordings of the students’ discussion in the expert and home teams. The writer then transcribed the recordings. Having transcribed, then the writer translated the transcript because the students talked mostly in Bahasa Indonesia. Next, the writer analyzed the transcripts based on initiation-response-feedback moves.

It is found there were several ways of doing initiation, response and give feedback in the expert team. The ways of doing initiation that high achievers did were questioning, directing and informing while low achiever did not do initiation move. The ways of doing response that were done by high achievers in the expert team were replying a question, acknowledging previous initial
information, and making completion to previous information while low achiever’s ways were replying a question and acknowledging. High achievers’ ways of doing give feedback were providing repair, accepting and commenting and low achiever’s way of giving feedback was commenting.

The findings of research question number 2 are summed up in this paragraph. High achievers’ ways of doing initiation in home team were questioning and directing. Low achievers’ ways of doing initiation were questioning and directing. Several ways of high achievers’ response in home team were replying a question, acknowledging previous initial information, making completion of an unfinished assertion and repetition of what has been spoken by peers. Low achievers’ ways of doing response were replying a question, acknowledging and making repetition. The ways of giving feedback that high achievers used in the expert team were accepting and providing repair while low achievers’ ways were accepting, commenting and providing repair.

It is found in that in the expert team, high achieving students initiated, respond and give feedback more than low achieving students. In the home team, high achieving students initiated more than low achieving students. However, high achieving students did not contribute response and feedback moves more than low achieving students in the home team. High achieving students produced the same number of response moves as low achieving students did. Moreover, low achieving students produced more feedback moves than high achieving students in the home team.
It can be concluded that high achieving students initiated, responded and gave feedback more than low achieving students in the expert team. High achieving students also contributed more of initiation moves than low achieving students in the home team. However, high achieving students contributed the same number of response moves as low achieving students and low achieving students produced more feedback moves than high achieving students in the home team.

5.2 Suggestions

In this study, high achievers contributed initiation, response and feedback more than low achievers in the expert team but in the home team high achievers only contributed initiation moves more than low achievers. The writer would like to suggest to the English Department students to extend this research more.

This study observed one home team and one expert team because of the limited time. Further study is suggested to observe high-low achieving students’ contribution in expert team and in their home team. It is also suggested to have a triangulator for Initiation-Response-Feedback analysis.
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