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ABSTRACT
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There are four skills that a person must master: listening, speaking, reading, and writing skills. Each skill has an important role in educational purposes, and writing is a valuable thing in education.

To become good writers, people really need to practice their writing skill a lot. However, writing is not an easy skill to learn. Many of the learners get difficulties when they have to write a composition. Practicing writing a lot might increase someone’s writing ability, however, for some people, especially elementary level students, it is not so interesting.

Teaching writing using Think-Pair-Square has never been researched before at Teaching Training and Education Faculty. Here, the writer would like to find out which technique that is more effective to teach writing to elementary school students.

In conducting her research, the writer followed several steps. First of all, the writer took third grade students of Xin Zhong Elementary School as the subjects of her study. Two groups were the samples of her study. Then, these groups were given different treatments. One group was taught using Think-Pair-Square and the other group was taught using individual work. These treatments were given in three meetings. In the fourth meeting, both groups were given the posttest. The scores obtained from the treatments and the posttest served as the representation of the students’ writing achievement and by analyzing these scores, the writer tested the hypothesis of this study and directly concluded whether there is a significant difference in the two groups’ writing achievement.

The result of the mean of the post test shows that the students taught using Think-Pair-Square obtained better score than the ones taught using individual work. The t-obtained is 0.4655 and the t-table is 2.07. Since t-o is lower than t-table, the Ho is accepted and Ha is rejected. However, there is no significant difference between two groups’ writing achievement.

The idea to teach writing using the Think Pair Square is worth investigating further. Therefore, the writer expects that the number of treatment be multiplied in order to come to a more decisive conclusion.
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