CHAPTER V
CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

In chapter V, there are two parts to be discussed. They are conclusion and suggestions. Conclusion deals with the summary of research, while the suggestions deals with input or recommendation for further research.

5.1. Conclusion

Teaching writing as a foreign language is essential in second language learning. Achieving writing skill means so much to students concerning that writing can help the learners to strengthen the mastery of other skills (Rivers, 1968:241). However, teaching writing nowadays is not emphasized like teaching other skills. This kind of situation makes the writing lesson done in monotonous way by giving such a simple teaching technique and method. In writing class, the teachers tends to keep giving the exercises to the students, let the students work in writing by giving guidelines or sometimes just let them work by leaving them with some instruction to create the writing composition without knowing the students’ ability in composing ideas into a good essay.

By giving semi-control writing technique, the students are expected to encourage their creativeness and pour it in their writing. The problems occurred when the students do not emphasize the purpose of writing itself. They tend to think about something deals with grammar, vocabulary, and
others until they ignore the content of their writing itself. Moreover, the limitation of their grammar and vocabulary makes them feel reluctant to develop more ideas. As the result, it reduces the quality of their writing and reduces the teacher’s intention to read and examine their works. Therefore, both the teachers and students will be easy to get bored when they have their writing class.

Having known this phenomenon, the writer suggest a prewriting technique to make the teaching and learning activity become more enjoyable, exciting and enhance the quality of writing itself. Through mind mapping, the students can develop their critical thinking by making a brief diagram of their ideas and then connect those ideas into a coherent composition. As its function is to prevent the students from imaginative block by making their writing freely and use their creativity in composing an essay. In order to enable the students to use certain vivid and appropriate words, the writer choose descriptive writing because they can make their writing alive through five senses creatively.

In conducting this research, the writer chose two classes as the experimental and control group. They were given the same treatments with different teaching techniques, mind mapping and semi-controlled writing. The subject was the eleventh grade of SMAK Stella Maris. During their treatment they firstly got pre-test to know their ability in general and then scored by ESL Composition Profile which indicated whether they had the same ability in four criteria such as content, organization, vocabulary and
Based on the statistical calculation of the data obtained after the treatments, the writer found out that there is a significant difference between their content, organization, vocabulary and the whole score in general who were taught by using Mind Mapping pre-writing technique and those who were taught by using guidelines. The finding of the post test score in each writing criteria are described as follows:

Table 5.1.
Summarizing the Analysis of the Post Test Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Writing Criteria</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mean (x)</th>
<th>to</th>
<th>tt</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.671</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.068</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.671</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocabulary</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>11.49</td>
<td>1.671</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Use</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.671</td>
<td>Insignificant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Score</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>1.671</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Therefore, teaching the students writing by mind mapping has given the significant impacts to the students for the better learning. Mind mapping proves to give a contribution in improving students’ writing in content, organization and language use criteria better than by using Semi-controlled writing. Mind mapping successfully helps the students to get more of ideas and developing them creatively and arrange those ideas in an organized structure. It also can enrich the students’ vocabulary from their critical thinking. On the opposite, the statistical shows that there is no significant difference in language use criteria because mind mapping does not concern to mechanical process. In conclusion, mind mapping only works in generating, developing, and organizing the ideas especially to focus the students’ ideas to the content of writing.

5.2. Suggestion

The writer realizes that this thesis is not perfect. There are many things that should be improved. Therefore, the writer wants to give some recommendations so that the research will be more useful for the future writing teaching.

First of all, mind mapping actually does not give a significant contribution to language use criteria. However, in fact, language use can make the writer’s composition become clear and readable with a deeper knowledge about language context comprehension in a composition. So, for the next study, the writer expects that other researchers can provide the
students with other interactive writing techniques that involved the development of four criteria as listed in ESL Composition Profile. It will be better if the students are given grammar exercises needed in the descriptive writing before giving the treatments.

Second, since mind mapping is one of the pre-writing techniques that is not applied at school as often as other techniques, the writer considers that the treatment given for three meetings to the groups is not enough. Basing on the questionnaire given, the students need more time to get used in mind mapping.

Third, the pre test and post test in both experimental and control group will be better to be given in the same instruction.

Fourth, for a class which rarely gets a writing class, better if other researchers try to use mind mapping technique in group first than in individual to make them used to the new writing technique presented. More writing class presentations and examples are good to make them clear and get involved with writing and its technique.

Fifth, the writer also expects that the other researchers can teach mind mapping to students in the Elementary school since they can develop their kinesthetic learning style by drawing and coloring. Better if the other researchers teach mind mapping in order to improve the students’ reading or vocabulary skill. Applying more mapping and various shapes of mapping will be advantages for the students’ English achievement in Elementary school.

Sixth, games in writing class will be preferred so much in teaching
writing to High School. Because learning in fun can create fresh mind and fast learning.
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