



## Turnitin Originality Report

JTICHe-14 by Suryadi Ismadji

From paper 2 (Hippo-hippo 02)

Processed on 16-Feb-2018 14:36 WIB

ID: 916899830

Word Count: 7119

Similarity Index  <b>17%</b>	<b>Similarity by Source</b>  Internet Sources: 7% Publications: 18% Student Papers: 5%
------------------------------------	--

### sources:

- 1

 10% match (publications)  
[Sutanto, Sylviana, Alchris Woo Go, Suryadi Ismadji, and Yi-Hsu Ju. "Taguchi Method and Grey Relational Analysis to Improve in Situ Production of FAME from Sunflower and Jatropha curcas Kernels with Subcritical Solvent Mixture", Journal of the American Oil Chemists Society, 2015.](#)

---

- 2

 2% match (student papers from 06-Oct-2010)  
[Submitted to Texas A&M University, San Antonio on 2010-10-06](#)

---

- 3

 1% match (Internet from 29-Aug-2017)  
[http://personal.its.ac.id/files/pub/2987-arief\\_w-chem-eng-Widjaja%2008\\_CAPE%20FINAL%20VERSION.pdf](http://personal.its.ac.id/files/pub/2987-arief_w-chem-eng-Widjaja%2008_CAPE%20FINAL%20VERSION.pdf)

---

- 4

 1% match (Internet from 30-Apr-2016)  
[http://eprints.undip.ac.id/48667/1/WidayatRSCE\\_2012.pdf](http://eprints.undip.ac.id/48667/1/WidayatRSCE_2012.pdf)

---

- 5

 < 1% match (student papers from 17-Jul-2014)  
[Submitted to Higher Education Commission Pakistan on 2014-07-17](#)

---

- 6

 < 1% match (publications)  
[Popov, Sergiy, Tarek Abdel-Fattah, and Sandeep Kumar. "Hydrothermal treatment for enhancing oil extraction and hydrochar production from oilseeds", Renewable Energy, 2016.](#)

---

- 7

 < 1% match (Internet from 06-Sep-2017)  
<https://ir.nctu.edu.tw/bitstream/11536/24279/1/000334130800003.pdf>

---

- 8

 < 1% match (publications)  
[Shuit, Siew Hoong, Keat Teong Lee, Azlina Harun Kamaruddin, and Suzana Yusup. "Reactive Extraction of Jatropha curcas L. Seed for Production of Biodiesel: Process Optimization Study", Environmental Science & Technology, 2010.](#)

---

- 9

 < 1% match (publications)  
[Kasim, N.S.. "Recovery of @c-oryzanol from biodiesel residue", Journal of the Chinese Institute of Chemical Engineers, 200705](#)

10

&lt; 1% match (Internet from 03-Jul-2017)

[http://hadinur.com/paper/hadi\\_jtice2014.pdf](http://hadinur.com/paper/hadi_jtice2014.pdf)

11

&lt; 1% match (publications)

[Jiang, Yanjun, Hanqi Gu, Liya Zhou, Cuicui Cui, and Jing Gao. "Novel \*in Situ\* Batch Reactor with a Facile Catalyst Separation Device for Biodiesel Production", \*Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research\*, 2012.](#)

12

&lt; 1% match (publications)

[Go, Alchris Woo, Angelique Trujillo Conag, and Dan Elmer Sadaya Cuizon. "Recovery of Sugars and Lipids from Spent Coffee Grounds: A New Approach", \*Waste and Biomass Valorization\*, 2016.](#)

13

&lt; 1% match (Internet from 16-Oct-2014)

[http://www.inspirenetwork.org/Dst/Rep\\_1-Vol\\_II.pdf](http://www.inspirenetwork.org/Dst/Rep_1-Vol_II.pdf)

14

&lt; 1% match (publications)

[Lee, Keat Teong, Steven Lim, Yean Ling Pang, Hwai Chyuan Ong, and Wen Tong Chong. "Integration of reactive extraction with supercritical fluids for process intensification of biodiesel production: Prospects and recent advances", \*Progress in Energy and Combustion Science\*, 2014.](#)

15

&lt; 1% match (publications)

[Micic, Radoslav D., Milan D. Tomić, Ferenc E. Kiss, Ferenc L. Martinovic, Mirko Đ. Simikić, and Tibor T. Molnar. "Comparative analysis of single-step and two-step biodiesel production using supercritical methanol on laboratory-scale", \*Energy Conversion and Management\*, 2016.](#)

**paper text:**

**2This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues. Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited. In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit: <http://www.elsevier.com/> authorsrights Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Journal of**

the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/jtice](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jtice) In situ transesterification of *Jatropha curcas* L. seeds in subcritical solvent system Alchris Woo Go a,1, Sylviana Sutanto a,1, Ying-Tsung Liu a, Phuong Lan Tran Nguyen a,

**4Suryadi Ismadji b,\***, **Yi-Hsu Ju a,\*\*** **a Department of Chemical Engineering, National Taiwan University of Science and Technology, 43 Keelung Road, Section 4, Taipei 106-07, Taiwan b Department of Chemical Engineering, Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University, Kalijudan 37, Surabaya 60114, Indonesia**

**7ARTICLE INFO Article history: Received 27 November 2013 Received in revised form 7 January 2014 Accepted 12 January 2014 Available online 11 February 2014 Keywords:**

**1Biodiesel *Jatropha curcas* Subcritical methanol Subcritical water Subcritical acetic acid (Trans)esterification**

**ABSTRACT** *Jatropha curcas* L. seed is widely studied for the production of biodiesel. A major drawback is the presence of excess free fatty acid in its seeds. The fatty acids make it unsuitable as feedstock oil in the conventional base-catalyzed process for biodiesel production. In this study, in situ transesterification of seed oil was studied with the aim to reduce production steps.

**14A mixture of methanol, acetic acid and water**

under subcritical conditions was employed for the in situ transesterification of *J. curcas* L. seed kernel to produce biodiesel under less severe operating conditions as compared to supercritical methanol technologies. A yield of 94–98% was obtained, based on extractable lipids (54–56% based on dry kernel). The process investigated is capable of tolerating the presence of moisture (up to 10%) and free fatty acid (up to 5%), eliminating the need for pre-treatment steps.

**10B 2014 Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. 1. Introduction**

Producing biodiesel by supercritical and subcritical solvent technologies has gained interest in the past decade. Most related research was aimed at avoiding the use of a catalyst. The absence of a catalyst lessened downstream processing steps and reduced the amount of wastewater generated. Among the early works in supercritical alcohol transesterification, Saka and Kusdiana [1] used refined rapeseed oil with oil to methanol molar ratio of 1:42 at 350 °C and 43 MPa and achieved a conversion of over 95% in 4 min.

Warabi et al. [2] investigated whether FFAs can be esterified with supercritical alcohols and found that high yield (95%) in less than 15 min at 300 8C was obtained for methanol at 20 MPa and for ethanol at 15 MPa. Their results showed that the presence of FFA in supercritical alcohol (trans)esterification will not be a problem if the reaction is carried Abbreviations: AG, acylglyceride; AOCS, American Oil Chemist Society; FA, fatty acid; FAME, fatty acid methyl ester; FFA, free fatty acid; HTGC, high temperature gas chromatography; JCL, *Jatropha curcas* L.; SSR, solvent to solid ratio. \* Corresponding author. \*\*

**9Corresponding author. Tel.: +886 2 27376612; fax: +886 2 27376644. E-mail**

addresses: suryadiismadji@yahoo.com (S. Ismadji),

**9yhju@mail.ntust.edu.tw (Y.-H. Ju). 1**

These authors have equal contributions to this work. at 300 8C for 15 min for methanol or 45 min for other alcohols. Kusdiana and Saka [3] investigated the effects of water on biodiesel production under supercritical methanol condition and found that water up to 50% by weight could be tolerated during esterification. They demonstrated that when waste palm oil containing more than 20% FFA and more than 61% moisture was used as raw material, high yield (95.8%) can be achieved. Although the supercritical methanol process can tolerate the presence of FFA and water, it requires high methanol loading, high temperature and high pressure. These severe conditions may lead to thermal degradation. To minimize thermal degradation of biodiesel, Imahara et al. [4] suggested that the supercritical methanol method should be carried out at temperature below 300 8C, preferably at 270 8C, with a pressure higher than 8.09 MPa. Shin et al. [5] reported that degradation of unsaturated fatty acids, found in vegetable oils in the presence of water, was observed at temperatures above 250 8C with an operating pressure of 20 MPa. Different co-solvents, propane [6], heptane [7] and carbon dioxide [8] have been used to lower temperature to 280 8C in the supercritical methanol method. In the work by Tan et al. [7], methanol loading was lowered to 30 moles per mole of palm oil by using heptane as co-solvent. However, Imahara et al. [9] concluded that the addition of hexane, CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> as the third component barely contributed to the improvement in the reaction rate. They found that the addition of N<sub>2</sub> decreased the total glycerol in the

**51876-1070/\$ – see front matter © 2014 Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.**  
**<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtice>. 2014.01 .010 biodiesel produced and**

improved its oxidation stability. Most studies using a co-solvent successfully lowered down process severity, but did not take into consideration the presence of water. The use of the supercritical alcohol method has an advantage over the conventional method in biodiesel production. Low quality oil such as non-edible and waste oils can be used directly as feedstock without the need of pretreatment. This has the potential of greatly lowering biodiesel production cost. In order to eliminate the step of oil extraction from seeds or microbial cells, in situ transesterification of oil seeds or microbial biomass under sub- and super-critical methanol conditions has been extensively studied [10–16]. Due to the high oil content of *Jatropha curcas* L. (JCL) seeds, the high yield of oil seeds and its easy of cultivation, non-edible oil from JCL seeds has

recently been suggested as the next generation feedstock oil for biodiesel production. Lim et al. [10] studied the supercritical fluid reactive extraction of JCL seeds with methanol. They investigated a pretreatment of drying and grinding of JCL seed kernels and found that moisture content of kernel should be reduced to 0.59% at temperature no higher than 75 °C for no more than 12 h prior to in situ (trans)esterification reaction [11,13]. The optimum particle size used in their study was between 1 mm and 0.5 mm [10]. They investigated the effects of co-solvents and found that pentane and CO<sub>2</sub> played important roles in reducing methanol loading [12]. Finally an optimized process was developed employing

**1 a solvent to solid ratio of**

**15.9 mL/g at 300 °C and an initial pressure of 2.0 MPa using CO<sub>2</sub> for a reaction time of 12.3 min (a heating up time of 80–90 min was required) [14]. In most of their studies high temperature (300 °C) was used and drying of**

kernel was

**1 required. Moreover high space loadings (30–54 mL/g) were employed**

[13,14].

**11 In this study the production of biodiesel by in situ transesterification of**

JCL seed kernels using mixtures of methanol and acetic acid under subcritical condition was investigated with the objective to decrease process severity. The effects of pressurizing gas (CO<sub>2</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>) and moisture on the overall biodiesel yield were studied. In addition, space loading and effective utilization of reactor volume to improve the overall biodiesel productivity

**13 were also investigated. 2. Materials and methods 2.1. Materials**

**1 JCL seeds were obtained from Muhammadiyah University (Malang, Indonesia). Seeds obtained were sun dried and**

**1 kept at 20 °C prior to use. Standards of fatty acid (FA), acylglycerides (AG) such as monoolein, diolein and triolein and fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES) were obtained from Supelco (Bellfonte, PA). All solvents and reagents used were either high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) or analytical reagent**

**grade, obtained from commercial sources. 2.2. Sample preparation and characterization**

Sun-dried JCL seeds were

**1 de-hulled manually. Kernels were ground to particle**

size of about 1.0 mm. Ground kernels were divided into 2 portions, the first were kept below 20 8C for later use in transesterification reactions. The second portion was kept at ambient room temperature to study the effect of storage on FFA content in kernel. Ground kernel (5 g) was loaded in a pre-dried glass tube. The kernel loaded tube was put into a freeze drier (Labconco FreeZone 2.5 L Model: 7670520, Kansas City, MO) operated at 44 8C and 11.0 Pa for 48 h. Water content of the ground kernels was calculated based on difference in weight of kernel sample before and after freeze-drying. To determine

**1 the amount of extractable crude lipid, a seed sample (5 g) was**

placed into a thimble. The thimble was then 1517 loaded into a Soxhlet extractor and extracted for 8 h using 150 mL of n-hexane as the solvent. The FFA

**12 content in the crude lipid was determined by the titrimetric method following AOCS official methods (Method Ca 5a-40)**

and by high temperature gas chromatography (HTGC). Analyzing known samples of FA with varied concentrations showed that the results of two methods differ from each other by less than 0.5%. Amount of unsaponifiable matter in the lipid sample

**1 was analyzed using AOCS official methods (Method 6b-53).**

Saponified lipids obtained after determination of unsaponifiable matter were collected and acidified to a pH of 2 with concentrated sulfuric acid and continually stirred at 60 8C until the solution was clear. The solution was then allowed to settle until two phases formed. The upper layer consisting of FAs was extracted with hexane and then reacted with BF<sub>3</sub>-methanol for later analysis of FA profile using HTGC.

**1 Dewaxing and degumming of the extracted lipids was carried out before its AG composition was analyzed using**

HTGC. In brief, 1 g crude lipid was dissolved in 6 mL acetone and heated in a 60 8C water bath until the solution was clear. The solution was allowed to cool to room temperature and then stored at 4 8C for 3 h.

The solution was then filtered (0.22 mm pore size) to remove the precipitated wax and gum. The procedure was repeated twice. 2.3.

**1 In situ transesterification Ground seed kernel (3.2 g) containing 5% moisture was loaded into a glass chamber (190 mL) and placed in a high-pressure reactor (290 mL). The ground kernel was mixed and suspended in a mixture of methanol and acetic acid. A detailed reactor description and configuration is described elsewhere [17]. The**

reactor is equipped with an external electric heater and a magnetic stirrer. Temperature in the reactor was controlled to within 2 8C.

**1 After the sample was put in the reaction chamber, the reactor was sealed and the chamber was purged with**

N<sub>2</sub>. Prior to heating, the chamber was pressurized using N<sub>2</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub> to ensure that the solvent was under subcritical state at the temperature carried out in this study. The

**1 reaction was carried out at 250 8C for a predetermined time.**

**1 Heating rate of the reactor was**

kept at 5 8C

**1/min with a heating period of 40–45 min.**

Reaction time was started as the desired reaction temperature was reached.

**1 After the reaction, the reactor was rapidly cooled, pressure inside the reactor was released and the product in the reactor was collected at room temperature. The reaction product was vacuum filtered using a Buchner funnel with Advantec No. 2 filter paper (8 mm pore size) to separate the spent solid from the reaction product. The retained solid was washed three times (each with 30 mL methanol) to recover the FAME produced. Methanol and acetic acid in the filtrate were removed and recovered using a rotary evaporator**

(BUCHI Labortechnik AG in Flawil, Switzerland). The evaporator was operated at 40 8C and 13.3 kPa. The residual acetic acid and water were further removed by heating the mixture to 80 8C and 13.3 kPa. The concentrated organic extract was then extracted

**1three times (each with 30 mL hexane) to recover the FAME produced and**

washed three

**1times (each using 20 mL, 5% NaCl solution)**

in a

**1separation funnel to remove non-lipid products co-extracted by methanol. The solution was allowed to clarify in between washings. The upper hexane phase, which contained FAME, was withdrawn. Hexane from the combined extract was evaporated**

under a vacuum using a rotary evaporator. The

**1recovered product was weighed and analyzed for its FAME, FA and AG contents.**

#### 2.4. Gas chromatography analysis

**1A 20 mg aliquot of the lipid sample was dissolved in ethyl acetate and filtered through 0.2 mm PTFE hydrophobic membrane 1518 to remove moisture.**

From this prepared solution, a 1.0 mL sample was injected into HTGC for analysis. External calibration curve was

**6generated using 0.2–20 mg of a pure standard dissolved in ethyl acetate. The calibration curve was generated by fitting a straight line with the y-intercept passing through zero (R2**

<

**10.99). Qualitative and quantitative analyses of FAME and un-reacted FFA in each sample were performed using a Shimadzu GC2010 (Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a split-injector and a FID. Separation was carried out on a ZB-5HT (5% phenyl)- methylpolysiloxane nonpolar column (15 m 0.32 mm i.d., 0.1 mm film thickness) (Zebron, Phenomenex, Torrence, CA, USA). Both injector and detector temperatures were set at 370 8C. The column temperature was programmed to increase at**

a rate of 15.0 8C/min from 80 8C to 365 8C and held at 365 8C for 48 s. N<sub>2</sub>

**1 was used as the carrier gas with a linear velocity of 30 cm/s at 80 8C. Data analyses were carried out by the software "GC Solution version 2.3", Shimadzu.**

Table 1 Effects of methanol to solid ratio on FAME yield.

**1 Reactions were carried out at 250 8C for 1 h**

and initially pressurized to 4 MPa using N<sub>2</sub>. Methanol to kernel ratio (mL/g) Yield (%)  
 5.0 31.51 1.29 6.0  
 36.48 1.65 7.0 42.65 1.72 8.0 47.13 1.64 10.0 47.86 1.91 12.0 45.60 3.27 15.0 37.07 2.07  
 a Mass fraction (%) of FAME based on the dry kernel (theoretical maximum 53.69%).  
 3.1. Effects of methanol loading on FAME yield  
 A control experiment using only methanol as the solvent and 3. Results and discussion  
 the reactant was carried out at 250 8C. As shown in Table 1, FAME yield increased with increasing methanol loading up to 10 mL per  
 The moisture content of the ground JCL seed kernels was 4.63 0.36%. The crude extractable lipid mass fraction was found to be 58.1 0.98%. Most of the extracted lipids were saponifiable with only 0.84 0.1% being unsaponifiable. Further analysis showed that 88.22 0.61% of the extracted lipid could be converted to FFA. The theoretical maximum overall FAME yield is 92.63% if based on extractable lipid and 53.69% if based on dry kernel. Unlike refined oils, which are primarily triglycerides. The crude lipid extracted from JCL seed kernels contained 12.98 0.84% wax and gums, 2.53 0.25% FFA and 85.83 1.31% AG. The apparent loss in the yield is due to the mass of the glycerol backbone of the AG, phosphate group in phospholipids (gums) and long chain fatty alcohols of wax esters, which do not contribute to formation of FAME and FAME yield. The

**15 presence of moisture and FFA in feedstock**

oil is a nuisance in biodiesel production. Moisture and FFA contents in feedstock oil are usually kept below 1% to avoid side reactions in conventional processes. Seeds should be kept dry to avoid germination, in which hydrolysis of storage lipids and consumption of lipids in post germination occur causing a decrease in extractable lipids [18]. To avoid this, seeds were dehulled, ground and kept dry in this study. Even with such

precaution, the increase of FFA in the ground kernel is unavoidable (Fig. 1). However the amount of extractable crude lipid remained relatively unchanged. The development of a (trans)esterification method

**1 capable of tolerating high moisture and FFA contents in feedstock oil is important.**

Extra energy is required for removing moisture and long storage of oil seeds promotes formation of FFA through hydrolysis of AGs in seeds. gram of dry kernel. Further increase in methanol loading resulted in lower FAME yields. Similar result was observed at 290 8C by Lim and Lee [12,13]. The decrease in yield was attributed to the improvement in solubility at higher solvent to solid ratio (SSR) resulting in a reverse reaction (glycerolysis of FAME). Glycerolysis was less likely to occur as methanol was present in a large excess and methanol was not continuously removed during reaction, which is often employed during glycerolysis. A possible reason is that dilution of the reaction system by the improved solubility at higher SSR resulted in slower rate of reaction, hence lower FAME yield. 3.2. Effects of acetic acid to methanol ratio on FAME yield At 250 8C and a SSR of 10 mL/g, a maximum yield of 47.86% was obtained which is only 89.14% of the theoretical yield (53.69%). Mixtures of acetic acid and methanol were tested at different SSRs to achieve higher yields. Table 2 shows that at an acetic acid volume fraction of 0.25 in the solvent and a SSR of 10 mL/g, the highest FAME yield (52.93%) was obtained which is 98.58% of the theoretical yield. Acetic acid fractions higher than 25% were found Table 2 Effects of SSR and volume fraction of acetic acid in solvent mixture (methanol + a- cetic acid) on FAME yield.

**1 Reactions were carried out at 250 8C for 1 h**

and initially pressurized to 4 MPa using N<sub>2</sub>. Solvent to kernel Volume fraction Yield (%)a ratio (mL/g) of acetic acid (% AA) 5.0 0 20 25 33 6.0 0 20 25 33 7.0 0 20 25 33 8.0 0 20 25 33 10.0 0 20 25 33 31.51 1.29 40.78 0.49 41.99 0.85 40.21 1.67 36.48 1.65 43.37 0.81 44.45 0.51 43.01 0.53 42.65 1.72 46.09 1.01 47.39 0.95 46.29 1.20 47.13 1.64 49.12 1.27 50.76 0.51 46.74 0.66 47.86 1.91 51.03 0.50 52.93 0.03 46.98 1.16

Fig. 1. FFA content in extracted lipids from stored ground JCL seed kernels over a a Mass fraction (%) of FAME based on the dry kernel (theoretical max- period of 3 months. imum 53.69%). to be detrimental to FAME yield. At fixed SSR, the use of mixture of acetic acid and methanol enabled the reaction to be carried out at a less severe temperature of 250 8C and resulted in high FAME yield. Pure methanol was capable of achieving similarly high FAME yields, but required longer reaction time (120 min). The addition of a 25% acetic acid–methanol mixture cut the reaction time in half to reach maximum yield (Fig. 2). At 25% acetic acid, prolonging reaction time to more than 60 min resulted in lower yields due to degradation in acidic environment. In this study, a SSR of 10 mL/g was required at 250 8C compared to reactions carried out at 300 8C which only required a SSR of 5.9 mL/g [14]. This is probably due to better solubility of oil in methanol at higher temperature. Nevertheless an overall reaction time of 105 min including heating up of the reactor is comparable to those reported in the literature. The role of acetic acid in the reaction is not clear. This weak acid could act as an acid catalyst at high temperatures. Experiments were also carried out at 65 8C using conventional methods of acid transesterification utilizing crude *Jatropha* oil and acetic acid as the catalyst. This did not result in any detectable FAME even at a reaction time of 24 h (data not shown). In view of its chemical structure, acetic acid is a short chain FFA. It was previously found that the presence of FFA could act as an acid catalyst in the hydrolysis of oils and supercritical esterification [19,20]. This catalytic effect could have been more pronounced at elevated temperatures and due to the presence of water in the

reaction system. Apart from the possible catalytic activity provided by acetic acid, its presence has enhanced and accelerated the

**8extraction of oil from JCL seed kernels. It was reported that lipids or oils have better solubility in an acidic**

environment [21]. From Fig. 3 it can be seen that upon reaching 250 8C, the presence of acetic acid allowed nearly complete extraction of lipids from the solid matrix of kernels. Another possibility is that the esterification of acetic acid to methyl acetate, which could act as a good extracting solvent. 3.3. Effects of pressurizing gas and stirring on FAME yield Both liquid and gas co-solvents have been employed by various researchers to improve the solubility and extraction efficiency. The main disadvantage of using liquid co-solvents is the increase in SSR and may result in decreasing the overall volumetric productivity. The use of gas co-solvents like CO<sub>2</sub> would be favorable as it will not decrease the overall volumetric productivity and is easily separated after reaction. Table 3 shows that upon the addition of CO<sub>2</sub>, yields were improved significantly at lower SSR (5 mL/g). The theoretical maximum yield was achieved at a SSR of 10 mL/g. Fig. 2. Effects of volume fraction of acetic acid in solvent on time course of FAME yield. Reactions were carried out at 250 8C, a SSR of 10 mL/g and initially pressurized to 4 MPa using N<sub>2</sub>. 1519 Fig. 3. Extraction efficiency versus reaction time at various acetic acid concentrations. Reactions were carried out at 250 8C, a SSR of 10 mL/g and initially pressurized to 4 MPa using N<sub>2</sub>. Another important parameter affecting FAME yield is stirring. Stirring would promote better contact between solid and solvent. Fig. 4 shows mixing improved the FAME yield. A FAME yield higher than the theoretical yield was achieved and is probably due to the release of FFA from the hydrolysis of structural lipids in the seed's kernel. The same phenomena were observed in previous inves- tigungen [10–14]. Even at a reduced

**1SSR of 7 mL/g, the combined addition of**

CO<sub>2</sub> and stirring resulted in a FAME of 56.80 1.3% based on dry kernel (98.01 2.3% on hexane extractable lipid). Prolonged reaction time resulted in decreased FAME yield as previously observed except for a SSR of 5 mL/g which achieved a FAME yield of 55.69 0.6%

**13at a reaction time of 90 min. At**

a SSR of 5 mL/g, prolonged reaction did not result in apparent degradation as the amount of acetic acid present was lesser. Consider a reactor filled with the reaction mixtures to the same final volume, a lower SSR is preferable as it gives higher overall productivity. In this study, by referring to the results shown in Fig. 5, an overall productivity of 39.9 kg/(m<sup>3</sup> h) and 40.6 kg/(m<sup>3</sup> h) was obtained at a SSR of 5 and 7 mL/g, respectively. The similar productivity obtained is due to the extended time required at lower SSR. In a batch process a shorter batch cycle time is preferable thus a SSR of 7 mL/g was used in the subsequent experiments. 3.4. Effects of space loading and pressure on FAME yield A smaller space loading implies a higher amount of solid is loaded into the reactor [13]. Although the highest loading investigated in this study resulted in a reaction mixture occupying only 68.8% of the effective reactor volume, it occupied 87% of the Table 3 Effects of pressurizing gas and SSR (25% acetic acid and 75% methanol) on FAME yield.

### 1 Reactions were carried out at 250 8C for 1 h

and initially pressurized to 4 MPa using N<sub>2</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub>. Solvent to kernel ratio (mL/g) Pressurizing gas Yield (%)  
 a 5.0 Nitrogen Carbon dioxide 7.0 Nitrogen Carbon dioxide 10.0 Nitrogen Carbon dioxide 41.99 0.85  
 49.62 1.41 47.39 0.95 51.37 0.61 52.93 0.03 53.54 0.40 a Mass fraction (%) of FAME based on the dry  
 kernel (theoretical maximum 53.69%). 1520 Fig. 4. Effects of stirring on FAME yield.

### 1 Reactions were carried out at 250 8C for 1 h

Fig. 5. FAME yield versus time at various SSR. Reactions were carried out with and initially pressurized to 4 MPa using N<sub>2</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub>. WO: without stirring, WS: with stirring at 250 8C and initially pressurized to 4 MPa using CO<sub>2</sub>. stirring. Table 4

### 8 Effect of space loading on FAME yield in in situ transesterification of JCL seed

kernel. Reactions were carried out at 250 8C for 60 min with stirring and initially pressurized to 4 MPa using CO<sub>2</sub>. Space loading (mL/g) a Utilized reactor volume (mL) Initial pressure (MPa) Final pressure (MPa) Extraction efficiency (%) c Yield (%) d (Kernel) e 80.0 25 (10.4%) b 48.0 41 (17.1%) 24.0 82 (34.2%) 12.0 165 (68.8%) 12.0 165 (68.8%) 12.0 165 (68.8%) 4.0 12.0 4.0 13.0 4.0 14.0 4.0 21.0 1.0 14.0 0.1 10.0 103.72 98.01 (56.80) 102.26 92.57 (53.65) 104.69 90.70 (52.56) 104.26 94.43 (54.72) 102.98 88.81 (51.47) 99.29 88.24 (51.14) a Space loading = reactor's effective volume (240 mL)/g of dry solid. b Fraction of the reactor volume utilized. c Mass fraction (%) of hexane soluble product based on the hexane extractable lipid. d Mass fraction (%) of FAME based on the hexane extractable lipid. e Mass fraction (%) of FAME based on the dry kernel. glass chamber and for safety reasons this was taken as the upper limit. Table 4 is a summary of the experimental results with various space loadings and initial pressures. At a fixed initial pressure, final pressure in the reactor increased with decreased space loading due to the smaller effective volume available for solvent and co-solvent expansion. Although a higher final pressure was achieved, FAME yield slightly decreased which is probably due to the lesser amount of CO<sub>2</sub> in the system. A decreased available space also required less CO<sub>2</sub> to be added at a fixed initial pressure. Another possibility is the improved solubility due to higher pressure achieved, which could have resulted in a similar dilution effect observed previously. Varying the initial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> added at a fixed space loading resulted in lower final pressure. This further confirms that addition of CO<sub>2</sub> is required to improve the yield of FAME. Higher final pressure did not significantly change FAME yield; the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> added played a more important role. Further investigations is required to better understand the synergic effects on the FAME yield induced by the available space for loading, expansion of solvents, amount of CO<sub>2</sub> and final pressure. This study is the first to obtained high FAME yield with low space loading and high solid loading. 3.5. Effects of moisture content and added water on FAME yield All oil seeds contain a certain amount of moisture. Methanol and acetic acid are both hygroscopic solvents and thus the presence of water in the reaction system is inevitable. It is important to develop a process operates at lower temperature (250 8C) that can tolerate moisture so that hydrothermal degradation of products can be avoided. The presence of water had a positive effect on FAME formation in the process developed in this study (Fig. 6). A water content of 2% in the seed kernel resulted in a slight increase in FAME yield. Fig. 6. Effects of moisture content in JCL seed kernel on FAME yield.

Reactions were carried out at 250 8C for 60 min with stirring and initially pressurized to 4 MPa using CO<sub>2</sub>. 1521 Table 5 Comparison of conventional and non-conventional catalyzed in situ transesterification methods. In situ (trans)esterification method Oil content SSR Temp Pressure Time (h) Space % yieldc Ref. (%) (mL/g) (8C) (MPa) loadinga (Kernel)d (mL/g) Acid catalyzed (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 21.8 wt.%) Microwave (110 W) assisted 2-step (ethanolysis) (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 7.5 wt.%) (KOH 5 mol/L) Alkaline catalyzed (NaOH 3.94 wt.%) Self-catalyzed methanolysis (germinated seeds) Co-solvent (hexane 2.5 mL/g) Alkaline catalyzed methanolysis (NaOH 1.52 wt.%) PTC (BTMAOH:NaOH 1.42) Alkaline catalyzed ethanolysis (NaOH 1.38 wt.%) PTC (BTMAOH:NaOH 1.62) Sub-critical Methanol-acetic acid (7.5:2.5) Co-solvent (CO<sub>2</sub> 4 MPa) 54.6 10.5 42.5 Step 1 10.5 Step 2 8.15 53.7 9.8 51.5 2.61 n.s. 7.5 60 n.s. 0.1 n.s. 65 35 0.1 0.1 38 0.1 10 0.5 (0.8)b 1 8 1.72 25 25 n.s.e n.s. n.s. 98.1 (53.53)b 90.0 (38.3) 98.0 (52.62) 87.6 (45.1) 89.0 [23] [24] [25] [18] [26] n.s. 7.5 35 0.1 1.54 n.s. 99.4 [26] 57.9 7 250 12.0 1.0 (1.75) 80.0 98.01 (56.8) This study a Space loading = reactor effective volume in mL/g of dry solid. b Total reaction time including reactor heat up time. c Mass fraction (%) of FAME based on the hexane extractable lipid. d Mass fraction (%) of FAME based on the dry kernel. e n.s.: not specified. Further increase in water content did not have significant effect on FAME yield. The results show that this process can use wet kernel with a moisture content up to 10% without any negative effect on FAME yield. The presence of water may have aided the hydrolysis of lipids thus indirectly lowering the activation energy in FAME production since FFAs can be esterified at lower activation energies [19]. Another possibility was the catalytic activity of water was present at the reaction conditions of this study. Water at 250 8C under a subcritical state releases maximum amounts of hydronium and hydroxide ions, contributing to its catalytic activity [22]. 3.6. Comparison with other in situ technologies The result of the in situ transesterification of JCL seed kernels obtained

**11 in this study was compared with those of various biodiesel production**

methods including conventional base-catalyzed methanolysis and supercritical technologies. From Table 5 it can be seen that SSR used in this study is lower than most non-supercritical processes reported in literature utilizing acid and base catalyst, requiring an SSR of between 7.5 ml/g and 10.5 ml/g [23–26], except for the self-catalyzed methanolysis utilizing indigenous lipase to self-catalyze the reaction. Although the self-catalyzed reaction used a lower SSR, it required a 4-day germination period [18]. The germination period varies depending on seed strain and activity of the indigenous lipase. The method used in this study required much higher temperatures than the conventional acid/base catalyzed reaction. Nevertheless this method needed much shorter time than acid or enzyme catalyzed reactions to achieve comparable FAME yields. The

**1 process developed in this study does not require**

neutralization of the catalyst. Less post treatment is needed and recovery of the acetic acid used can be incorporated into the conventional methanol recovery system. Table 6 gives comparison of FAME yield obtained by using super and sub critical methanol technologies on in situ transesterification of the JCL seed kernel. When supercritical methanol was employed, high temperature (300 8C) and high pressure (9.5–20 MPa) were employed. The use of CO<sub>2</sub> as co-solvent was able to lower space loading required from 54 to 30 mL/g. The use of lower initial CO<sub>2</sub> pressure (from 2 to 5 MPa) is offset by the need to use higher SSR (from 5 to 5.9 mL/g). The total time was slightly Table 6 Comparison of supercritical and subcritical in situ transesterification of JCL seed kernel. In situ (trans)esterification method Oil content SSR Temp

Pressure Time (h) Space (%) (mL/g) (8C) (MPa) loadinga (mL/g) % yieldc Ref. (Kernel)d Supercritical methanol (Process intensification) Supercritical methanol Co-solvent (CO<sub>2</sub> 5 MPa) Supercritical methanol Co-solvent (CO<sub>2</sub> 4 MPa) Supercritical Methanol Co-solvent (CO<sub>2</sub> 2 MPa) Sub-critical Methanol-acetic acid (7.5:2.5) Co-solvent (CO<sub>2</sub> 4 MPa) 66.8 5 64.6 5 66.8 5.8 66.8 5.9 57.9 7 300 9.5 300 20.0 295 n.s.e 300 n.s. 250 21.0 0.5 (2.0)b 0.5 (2.0) 0.125 (1.63) 0.21 (1.71) 1.0 (1.75) 54 30 30 30 12.0 99.67 (66.6) 102.3 (66.1) 87.1 (58.2) 92.0 (61.5) 94.43 (54.72) [13] [12] [14] [14] This Study a Space loading = reactor effective volume in mL/g of dry solid. b Total reaction time including reactor heat up time. c Mass fraction (%) of FAME based on the hexane extractable lipid. d Mass fraction (%) of FAME based on the dry kernel. e n.s.: not specified. 1522 decreased from 2 h to 1.71 h [12–14]. The subcritical solvent (methanol + acetic acid + water) transesterification approach used in this study was able to obtain high FAME yield that is comparable to that obtained by supercritical methanol methods but at a lower temperature (250 8C) and a much lower space loading (12.0 mL/g). The method used in this study can tolerate the presence of FFA and relatively high moistures content (10%) in the kernel. Similar to other in situ processes a separate extraction step is not required. Typical extraction method utilizing mechanical expeller requires preheating at 80 8C for 20 min and can only recover 50 to 80% of the extractable lipids [27–29]. An optimized screw press design was capable of recovering up to 89.3% of the lipid content but required high pressure (9 MPa) and temperature (140 8C) [28]. Solvent extractions are typically employed as a second step to recover lipids from extruded pressed cakes, but still resulted in a lipid loss of 10% [27,28]. With a better recovery than mechanical expellers and much shorter time than solvent extraction, supercritical carbon dioxide extraction re- quired very high operating pressure (up to 35.0 MPa) and a long extraction time (5 h) [30]. A three phase partitioning method was used by Vyas et al. [31] which required an overall extraction and separation time of 2 h, but involved several intermediate steps and could only achieve a recovery of 85%. This method on the other hand is tolerant to moisture, eliminating the need to dry the kernels. With this method extraction and reaction can be carried out simultaneously with a total time less than that of any extraction methods reported in literature. 4. Conclusion In this study an in situ (trans)esterification process was developed to produce biodiesel from JCL seed kernel with high FAME yield (94–98% based on extractable lipids). By using

#### 14a mixture of methanol, acetic acid and water

under subcritical condition, the process can be operated under less severe conditions ( 250 8C) than that of supercritical methanol methods (above 300 8C). It was shown in this study that the presence of acetic acid has greatly improved the extracting power of methanol and increased the rate of reaction to form FAME. This study successfully employed a high reactor loading utilizing up to 70% of the reactors volume without compromising the yield in FAME. Considerations to the overall reactor loading or volume utilization and the corresponding effects of carbon dioxide need to be investigated in future works, as they would have a big impact on the productivity of the process. Lastly, this newly developed process provides an alternative route in in situ biodiesel production that can

#### 15use feedstock with high moisture (up to 10%) content and FFA (up to

5%).

**1 Acknowledgments The authors would like to acknowledge the financial supports of the National Science Council of Taiwan (NSC 102-2221-E-011-079) and National Taiwan University of Science and Technology (102H451403).**

Language editing provided by Dr. Fred Quarnstrom (Seattle, WA) is greatly appreciated. References [1] Saka S, Kusdiana D. Biodiesel fuel from rapeseed oil as prepared in supercritical methanol. *Fuel* 2001;80:225–31. [2] Warabi Y, Kusdiana D, Saka S. Reactivity of triglycerides and fatty acids of rapeseed oil in supercritical alcohols. *Bioresour Technol* 2004;91:283–7. [3] Kusdian D, Saka S. Effects of water on biodiesel fuel production by supercritical methanol treatment. *Bioresour Technol* 2004;91:289–95. [4] Imahara H, Minami E, Hari S, Saka S. Thermal stability of biodiesel in supercritical methanol. *Fuel* 2008;87:1–6. [5] Shin YH, Ryu JH, Park SY, Bae SY. Thermal stability of fatty acids in subcritical water. *J Anal Appl Pyrol* 2012;98:250–3. [6] Cao W, Han H, Zhang J. Preparation of biodiesel from soybean oil using supercritical methanol and co-solvent. *Fuel* 2005;84:347–51. [7] Tan KT, Lee KT, Mohamed AR. Effects of fatty acids, water content and co-solvent on biodiesel production by supercritical methanol reaction. *J Supercrit Fluids* 2010;53:88–91. [8] Han H, Cao W, Zhang J. Preparation of biodiesel from soybean oil using supercritical methanol and CO<sub>2</sub> as co-solvent. *Process Biochem* 2008;131:238–43. [9] Imahara H, Xin J, Saka S. Effects of CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> addition to supercritical methanol on reactivities and fuel qualities in biodiesel production. *Fuel* 2009;88:1392–432. [10] Lim S, Hoong SS, Lee KT, Bhatia S. Supercritical fluid reactive extraction of *Jatropha curcas* L. seeds with methanol: a novel biodiesel production method. *Bioresour Technol* 2010;101:7169–72. [11] Lim S, Lee KT. Effects of solid pre-treatment towards optimizing supercritical methanol extraction and transesterification of *Jatropha curcas* L. seeds for the production of biodiesel. *Sep Purif Technol* 2011;81:363–70. [12] Lim S, Lee KT. Influences of different co-solvents in simultaneous supercritical extraction and transesterification of *Jatropha curcas* L. seeds for production of biodiesel. *Chem Eng J* 2013;221:436–45. [13] Lim S, Lee KT. Process intensification for biodiesel production from *Jatropha curcas* L. seeds: supercritical reactive extraction process parameters study. *Appl Energy* 2013;103:712–20. [14] Lim S, Lee KT. Optimization of supercritical methanol reactive extraction by Response Surface Methodology and product characterization from *Jatropha curcas* L. seeds. *Bioresour Technol* 2013;142:121–30. [15] Tsigie YA, Huynh LH, Ismadji S, Engida AM, Ju YH. In situ biodiesel production from wet *Chlorella vulgaris* under subcritical condition. *Chem Eng J* 2012;213:104–8. [16] Tsigie YA, Huynh LH, Tran Nguyen PH, Ju YH. Catalyst-free biodiesel preparation from wet *Yarrowia lipolytica* P01g biomass under subcritical condition. *Fuel Process Technol* 2013;115:50–6. [17] Tran NPL, Go AW, Huynh LH, Ju YH. A study on the mechanism of subcritical water treatment to maximize extractable cellular lipids. *J Biomass Bioenerg* 2013;59:523–9. [18] Gu H, Jiang YJ, Zhou LY, Gao J. Reactive extraction and in situ self-catalyzed methanolysis of germinated oilseed for biodiesel production. *Energy Environ* 2011;4:1337–44. [19] Minami E, Saka S. Kinetics of hydrolysis and methyl transesterification for biodiesel production in two-step supercritical methanol process. *Fuel* 2006;85:2479–83. [20] Changi S, Matzger AJ, Savage PE. Kinetics and pathways for an algal phospholipid (DOPC) in high-temperature (175–350 °C) water. *Green Chem* 2012;14:2856–67. [21] Hensarling TP, Jacks TJ. Solvent extraction of lipids from soybeans with acidic hexane. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 1983;80(4):783–4. [22] IAWPS. Release on the ionization constant of H<sub>2</sub>O. Lucerne, CH: International Association for the Properties of Water and Steam; 2007. [23] Shuit SH, Lee KT, Kamaruddin AH, Yusup S. Reactive extraction of *Jatropha curcas* L. seed for production of biodiesel: process optimization study. *Environ Sci Technol* 2010;44:4361–7. [24] Kaul S, Porwal J, Garg MO. Parametric study of *jatropha* seeds for biodiesel production by reactive extraction. *J Am Oil Chem Soc*

2010;87:903–8. [25] Jaliliannosrati H, Amin NAS, Talebian-Kiakalaieh A, Noshadi I. Microwave assisted biodiesel production from *Jatropha curcas* L. seed by two-step in situ process: optimization using response surface methodology. *Bioresour Technol* 2013;136:565–73. [26] Hailegiorgis SM, Mahadzir S, Subbarao D. Parametric study and optimization of in situ transesterification of *Jatropha curcas* L. assisted by benzyltrimethylammonium hydroxide as a phase transfer catalyst via response surface methodology. *Biomass Bioenergy* 2013;49:63–73. [27] Martin C, Moure A, Martin G, Carrillo E, Dominguez H, Parajo JC. Fractional characterization of *Jatropha*, neem, moringa, trisperma, castor and candlenut seeds as potential feedstocks for biodiesel production in Cuba. *Biomass Bioenergy* 2010;34:533–8. [28] Karaj S, Muller J. Optimizing mechanical oil extraction of *Jatropha curcas* L. seeds with respect to press capacity, oil recovery and energy efficiency. *Ind Crops Prod* 2011;34:1010–6. [29] Tambunan AH, Situmorang JP, Silip JJ, Joelianingsih A, Araki T. Yield and physicochemical properties of mechanically extracted *Jatropha curcas* L. oil. *Biomass Bioenergy* 2012;43:12–7. [30] Chen CH, Chen WH, Chang CMJ, Lai SM, Tu CH. Biodiesel production from supercritical carbon dioxide extracted *Jatropha* oil using subcritical hydrolysis and supercritical methylation. *J Supercrit Fluids* 2010;52:228–34. [31] Vyas AP, Subrahmanyam N, Patel PA. Production of biodiesel through trans-esterification of *Jatropha* oil using  $\text{KNO}_3/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  solid catalyst. *Fuel* 2009;88:625–8. Author's personal copy *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers* 45 (2014) 1516–1522

**3Author's personal copy A. W. Go et al. / Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers**

45 (2014) 1516–1522

**3Author's personal copy A. W. Go et al. / Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers**

45 (2014) 1516–1522

**3Author's personal copy A. W. Go et al. / Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers**

45 (2014) 1516–1522

**3Author's personal copy A. W. Go et al. / Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers**

45 (2014) 1516–1522

**3Author's personal copy A. W. Go et al. / Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers**

45 (2014) 1516–1522

**3Author's personal copy A. W. Go et al. / Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers**

45 (2014) 1516–1522