CHAPTER V
SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION

This chapter consists of two sections. The first section deals with summary of the study and the second section deals with recommendation.

5.1 Summary of the Study

Reading is an important activity for students because it influences their academic success. There is an active thinking process that happens while reading because it provides knowledge for students. As educators, teachers need to help students to improve their reading skills. It can be done by giving them reading comprehension questions that covers all the thinking levels in the cognitive domain of Bloom’s Digital Taxonomy after the reading process to make sure that the students really comprehend the text. It also helps students to be more critical in thinking.

The cognitive domain of Bloom’s Digital Taxonomy consists of six thinking levels, namely remembering, understanding, applying, analyzing, evaluating, and creating. Remembering requires students to retrieve, recall, or reorganize knowledge from their memory. Understanding requires students to construct meaning from the content of the text. Applying requires students to carry out or using a procedure through executing or implementing. Analyzing requires students to break a concept into parts and determine how the parts related or interrelate to one another or to an overall structure or
purpose. Evaluating requires students to make judgments based on criteria and standards through checking and critiquing. Creating requires students to put elements together to form coherent or functional whole and reorganize elements into a new pattern or structure through generating, planning, or producing.

This study focuses on finding the reading comprehension question types and the proportion of each question type in Mandiri: English on Target. There are 9 chapters, 81 reading texts, and 225 reading comprehension questions in the course book which become the data source of this study. The writer used the cognitive domain of Bloom’s Digital Taxonomy as a parameter to find the question types in the course book. She categorized all comprehension questions using a checklist.

The findings of the study shows that the biggest proportion was remembering question type. There are 100 questions or 44.44% of remembering level, 75 questions or 33.33% of understanding level, 46 questions or 20.44% of analyzing level, 4 questions or 1.78% of evaluating level and 0% of applying and creating question types. It means that out of six question types, the reading comprehension questions in Mandiri: English on Target only supply four types of comprehension questions (remembering, understanding, analyzing, and evaluating). Two other question types (applying and creating) are out of attention; no reading comprehension questions of applying and creating are available in the course book. With such a portion which focuses more on remembering and understanding level, Mandiri: English on Target
pays more attention to the development of students’ recalling and understanding, rather than to the development of their critical thinking. Thus, it can be argued that the objective of the study could not be achieved because *Mandiri: English on Target* has not met the demand of Curriculum 2013.

### 5.2 Recommendation

Related to the findings of the study, the writer proposes some recommendations as follow.

- Teachers who want to buy a course book which provide reading section should consider whether the reading comprehension questions presented in the course book can help readers to comprehend reading texts well. Teachers should also look at the basic competence written in the course book to consider whether the course book has been really written based on the curriculum.

- Teachers who use *Mandiri: English on Target* should give students more comprehension questions, especially questions at applying and creating levels due to the incompleteness of the question types presented in the course book.

- Teachers who give reading exercises to students should consider that the comprehension questions cover all the thinking levels in the cognitive domain of Bloom’s Digital Taxonomy. If they only cover low thinking levels, teachers need to add some comprehension questions in higher thinking levels so that it can stimulate students’ critical thinking.
• Book writers who want to construct reading comprehension questions should consider balance proportion for the question types so that the questions can lead students to be more creative and critical in thinking.

• Researchers who want to conduct a study should consider examining other books used in Senior High School.
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