Chapter 5

Conclusion and Suggestion

There are two parts in this chapter. Conclusion of the study is presented in the first part. Afterward, the suggestion for future research is provided.

Conclusion

Vocabulary size was discovered to have a significantly positive and strong correlation with literal reading comprehension ($r = .761, p< .001$). As literal reading comprehension is the lower level skill of reading, vocabulary is indeed highly related to it. The larger the vocabulary size that readers acquire, the better the literal reading comprehension that they achieve.

Furthermore, the correlation between vocabulary size and inferential reading comprehension was also found to be significantly positive and strong ($r = .822, p< .001$). The correlation coefficient was slightly higher than the correlation coefficient between vocabulary size and literal comprehension. It indicates that as a higher level of reading, inferential reading comprehension is beyond literal. Vocabulary size influences the
readers' ability to understand the implied meaning of the text, which also included thinking process. This higher correlation coefficient supports verbal efficiency theory claimed by Perfetti (1985) as cited in Chen (2011) that becoming efficient in processing lower level reading skills such as vocabulary knowledge and word recognition would facilitate readers in the processing of higher level reading skills in order to help them attain reading comprehension.

Afterward, the research disclosed that the correlation between vocabulary size and critical reading comprehension was also significantly positive and strong. The correlation coefficient is $0.839, p<0.001$, higher than the correlation coefficient between vocabulary size and literal comprehension or vocabulary size and inferential comprehension. The finding suggests that literal and inferential comprehension both support critical comprehension. When readers attain literal and inferential comprehension, they would progress to critical comprehension, which is the understanding of both explicit and implicit meanings of a text and the ability to make judgments and evaluation.

The findings reveal that vocabulary size has a significant positive and strong correlation with the three levels of reading comprehension namely literal, inferential, and critical.
comprehension. This correlation plausibly supports many researchers’ acknowledgment about the correlation between vocabulary and reading. Koda (1989) and Qian (1999) as cited in Chen (2011) mention that vocabulary knowledge heavily relates to reading comprehension more so than other factors such as grammar knowledge. In this research, the correlation between vocabulary size and overall reading is also measured to affirm this stance. The result of Pearson correlation coefficient is .873, p< 0.001, indicating a positive and strong correlation.

Vocabulary size is apparently also a good predictor to the three levels of reading comprehension as well as to the overall reading comprehension since the variation in vocabulary size could predict the variation in literal, inferential, and critical reading comprehension with respectively 57.9%, 67.6%, and 70.4%, and 76.2% accuracy.

Hence, it is crucial for readers to continually increase their vocabulary size in order to facilitate their reading comprehension especially in the three levels which are literal, inferential, and critical reading comprehension.
**Suggestion**

In exploring the correlation between vocabulary size and the three levels of reading comprehension, the researcher limits the research only to investigate what the correlation is between vocabulary size and the three levels of reading comprehension (literal, inferential, and critical comprehension) in terms of the direction and magnitude. Further study is necessarily recommended to discover the effects of vocabulary size on literal, inferential, and critical reading comprehension.

Furthermore, having observed that the research subjects with vocabulary size on the average of 7,203 word families only obtained overall reading score on the average of 55, the researcher suspects that insufficient time allocation might be the probable cause of the reading low score. Despite its disassociation with the correlation result, a further research is recommended to affirm this supposition.
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