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CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

This chapter is presented to give the conclusion of what have been discussed before and give some suggestions for further studies.

5.1 CONCLUSION

There are some words in language that cannot be interpreted at all unless the physical context, especially the physical context of the speaker, is known. These are words such as here, there, this, that, now, then, yesterday, as well as most pronouns, such as I, you, him, her, them.

It is generally believed that perception of and orientation in space are determinant factors in human action and interaction. As such, speech heavily depends on knowledge of the context: Where and When a sentence is uttered, and by Whom. These three dimensions are traditionally seen as the so-called deictic center of all linguistic events, without which no linguistic expression can be properly interpreted.

Deixis usually refers to linguistic components of a discourse that gain their specific meaning from the discourse situation. The word deixis comes from the Greek word deiktikos which means “to indicate”. Deixis can be defined as reference by means of an expression whose interpretation is relative to the linguistic or extra linguistic context of the utterance, such as who is speaking, to whom, what status the interaction participants have, what relation they have, the
time or place of speaking, the gestures of the speaker, or the current location in the
discourse. In linguistic theory, deixis are a class of verbal signs whose reference
depends on the speech situation in which they are used and their meaning is
occasion-specific.

As mentioned in the previous chapter, this research was carried out to
answer three research questions. Concerning the first research question, what
deixis were used by English native speakers and students at Self-Access Center,
the writer found that English native speakers and students used the three kinds of
deixis, person deixis, place deixis, and time deixis.

For person deixis, the deixis I (207) was used most of the time. Followed
by you as subject (170), they (96), my (68), we (58), it as subject (32), me (48),
them (47), he (43), your (31), you as object (30), their (31), it as object (28), she
(17), his (15), him (12), our (12), her (9) us (6).

In this person deixis, endophora/anaphora was used by native speakers
with the total number of 811 or 93 %. Meanwhile, exophora was less used by
native speakers with the total number of 3 or 0.3 %. Students also used
endophora/anaphora with the total number of 144 or 95 %. Meanwhile, exophora
was used twice or 1.3 % by the students. Endophora/cataphora was not used by
either the native speakers or the students.

The kinds of place deixis used by native speakers were here which
belonged to proximal with the total number of 32 or 3.6 %; and there which
belonged to distal with the total number of 7 or 0.8 %. Meanwhile, students used
proximal place deixis with the total number of 3 or 1.9 %.
Among the three kinds of deixis, time deixis was less used by both the native speakers and students. The native speakers used time deixis that belonged to at the time of utterance and before the time of utterance with the total number for each time deixis 7 or 0.8%. Meanwhile, for the time deixis after the time of utterance, the native speakers used it twice or 0.2% and the students used only before the time of utterance with the total number of 2 or 1.3%.

The second research question was whether those deixis were used correctly. The writer found that most of the three deixis were used correctly although there were still some deixis that were not used correctly either by the native speakers or the non-native speakers.

For example: *I have a friend from America and usually they don’t want to come to Indonesia.* Actually, the student should have used *he or she* instead of *they* to refer to the word *a friend*.

Another example:

*And then five years later my sister wants to come here. She said God hasspoken to come here.* This sentence spoken by the native speaker showed that person deixis ‘she’ which referred to ‘my sister’ was used correctly.

Concerning the third research question, why such deixis were used by the English native speakers and the students the way they were. Since deixis is a reference that refers to the surrounding situation, the writer concluded that those deixis were used as reference. For example: *When did you come to Indonesia?* This question was asked by a particular student. Person deixis ‘you’ in that sentence referred to the native speaker.
The writer found that deixis was mostly used in speech. Therefore, in speaking, the speaker must use deixis (person, place, and time deixis) correctly, accurately, and fluently, otherwise people who listen to him/her will be confused.

When we have known about the definition of deixis, we may think that deixis is a simple matter. However, after having analyzed the deixis in the conversation, the writer found that the deixis matter was not as simple as the writer had thought.

5.2 SUGGESTIONS

In line with the study above, the writer would like to give some suggestions related to this study.

Since deixis always occurs in the teaching learning process, it would be better if deixis is taught to non-native speakers especially English learners or those who study English as their foreign language. For teaching, deixis is used to explain ambiguities. For example:

1) *That clown is the finest I've ever seen.*

2) *They are fine actors. That clown is the finest I've ever seen.*

It can be said that sentence 1) is confusing because it is not mentioned before which clown. However, in sentence 2) 'that clown' becomes clear, that is, the clown who belongs to one of the fine actors.
Deixis can also be applied in translation. In translating, students should know what specific person a deixis refers to. Therefore, deixis is important in translation. Otherwise, students will be confused in referring to certain deixis.

Since deixis is related to cohesion and coherence, it would be better if the discussion about deixis is conducted through pragmatics and discourse analysis. In addition, cohesion needs to be stressed, especially about the distribution of exophora, endhopora, anaphora and cataphora.
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