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ABSTRACT


This study observed the swear words as shown by the writer's brother and his friends on Jalan Ngagel Timur, Surabaya.

The data of this study which had been collected by a tape recorder and a diary were transcribed and analyzed under the parameters, namely: participants, time, place, topic, the way they do the conversation and intonation.

Based on the data analyzed, it is found that the swear words mostly used by the writer's brother and his friends were (1) cuk (from diancuk), (2) gendeng, (3) udelmu, (4) gathel, (5) jangkrik and (6) edan.

The main reasons why the writer's brother and his friends uttered swear words when they were having their conversations were (1) the participants are male, (2) the participants are close friends, (3) the participants have strong solidarity, (4) the topics are easy ones, (5) the settings are informal, (6) the participants want their conversation to go casually and intimately, (7) to show annoyance, (8) to show amazement, (9) to show disagreement, (10) to show surprised and (11) to release the participants' emotions.

This study, the writer believes, is far from perfect as there are likely several shortcomings in terms of its scope, instruments, the procedures of analyzing the data and many others. Hence, it is suggested that future researcher will do better study than the writer and do prospective studies on swearing words with more sophisticated instruments and procedures of analyzing the data.

Besides, we all know that swear words obviously shock and offend many people, that is why it is suggested that by analyzing the Swear words uttered by the writer's brother and his friends, the writer hopes that people do not have negative prejudice in hearing Swear words.