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Abstract

This thesis is a quasi experimental research analyzing the reading comprehension achievement of the eleventh graders of SMA Kristen Petra 3 Surabaya. This thesis uses a non randomized pretest posttest control group to find the effect of different treatments on the two different samples and to find the effectiveness of the intervention. The variables of this thesis are in two types: peer tutoring with QUICK method and task based method. This experimental research is comparing the effects of peer tutoring with QUICK method and task-based method to help the students to increase the students’ reading achievement from their lack of understanding a reading passage. Besides for increasing the students’ reading achievement, this thesis has the main purpose to give a variation in teacher’s teaching reading techniques.

The thesis used independent samples t-test to indicate the students who were taught using task based method reached 7.75 percent in their reading achievement and the students who are taught in peer tutoring with QUICK method reached five percent in their reading achievement. And this thesis used paired samples t-test to indicate the students who were taught using peer tutoring with QUICK method showed the significant of two-tailed was .330 while the significant of two-tailed in students who were taught using task based was .011.

As the conclusion, task based method gave a better effect in students’ reading achievement since the creative task helped students to scaffold their cognitive.

Keywords: Reading comprehension, reading achievement, peer tutoring with QUICK method, task-based method, independent samples t-test, paired samples t-test.
# Table of Content

Advisor’s Approval ................................................................. i
Thesis Examination Board’s Approval ................................. ii
Statement of Authenticity .................................................... iii
Acknowledgements ............................................................... iv
Abstract ................................................................................ vi
Introduction ........................................................................... 1
  Background of the Study ................................................... 1
  Statements of the Problems ............................................... 5
  Purposes of the Study ........................................................ 5
  Theoretical Framework ...................................................... 6
  The Hypotheses ................................................................. 7
Assumptions .......................................................................... 8
Scopes and Limitations ....................................................... 9
Significance of the Study .................................................... 10
Definitions of Key Terms .................................................... 11
  Reading Comprehension ................................................... 11
  Peer Tutoring ................................................................ 11
  Peer Tutoring with QUICK Method .................................. 12
  Task-Based ..................................................................... 13
Thesis Organization ............................................................ 13
Review of Related Literature .............................................. 14
  Reading Comprehension ................................................... 14
    The Goal of Reading ....................................................... 15
    Critical Reading ............................................................. 16
  Reading Comprehension Methods .................................... 18
    Peer Tutoring with QUICK Method ............................... 18
    Tutoring Session .......................................................... 20
    The Implementation of Peer Tutoring with QUICK Method .................................................. 21
Method ................................................................................. 21
  Task Based .................................................................... 22
    The Implementation of Task-Based in Teaching ................. 22
Previous Studies ................................................................. 29
Peer Tutoring with QUICK Method ..................................... 29
Task Based ......................................................... 30
The Differences between Previous Studies and This Study ......................................................... 31
Research Design and Methodology.......................... 33
The Design ......................................................... 33
The Population .................................................... 34
The Samples ..................................................... 34
The Variables ...................................................... 35
The Instructions ................................................... 36
Instructions of Peer Tutoring with QUICK Method 37
Instructions of Task Based Method ......................... 38
The Treatments .................................................... 38
Time Allocation .................................................. 43
Instructional Materials........................................ 43
Instruments for Data Collections ........................... 44
Reading Tests ................................................... 44
Pretest ................................................................ 44
Post test ................................................................ 45
Pilot Group and Tryout ........................................ 45
Validity .............................................................. 46
Reliability ........................................................... 46
Item Analysis ...................................................... 47
Item Difficulty ..................................................... 47
Item Discrimination ............................................ 49
Procedures of Collecting Data ............................... 50
Technique of Data Analysis .................................. 54
Findings of the Try Out ....................................... 56
The Reliability of Reading Test .............................. 56
Item Difficulty ..................................................... 56
Item Discrimination ............................................ 57
Findings and Discussions .................................... 59
The Research Findings ....................................... 59
The Findings of Independent Samples T-test .......... 59
The Findings of Paired Samples T-test .................... 63
The Discussion of the Findings ............................. 67
viii
List of Tables

Table 2.1 The Differences of Peer Tutoring with QUICK method and Task Based Method .......................... 26
Table 3.1 The Research Design ........................................ 33
Table 3.2 The Implementation Differences between Peer Tutoring with QUICK Method and Task Based Method … 39
Table 3.3 Evaluation of Item Difficulty for Item Analysis. 48
Table 3.4 Evaluation of Discrimination Indexes Table ..... 50
Table 3.5 The Result of Item Difficulty in Tryout ........... 57
Table 3.6 Item Discrimination Index of Tryout ............. 58
Table 4.1 Independent Samples T-test Output Table ...... 60
Table 4.2 Paired Samples Statistics in Peer Tutoring with QUICK Group .................................................. 64
Table 4.3 Paired Samples Statistics Table in Task Based Group ................................................................. 64
Table 4.4 Comparison of Paired Samples T-test Results between Peer Tutoring with QUICK Method Group and Task Based Group ......................................................... 65
List of Figure

Figure 1 The Relationship of the Variables.................... 36