THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE ABILITY TO GUESS THE MEANING OF UNKNOWN WORD FROM CONTEXT AND THE ABILITY TO COMPREHEND A TEXT

A THESIS
In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Language Teaching

By
Chatarina Ratih Hutami Sanjaya
NRP : 1213096064
NIRM : 96.7.003.02023.58453

UNIVERSITAS KATOLIK WIDYA MANDALA SURABAYA
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN
JURUSAN PENDIDIKAN BAHASA DAN SENI
PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS
JULY 2000
This thesis entitled The Relationship between the Ability to Guess the Meaning of Unknown Word from Context and the Ability to Comprehend a Text prepared and submitted by Chatarina Ratih Hutami Sanjaya has been approved and accepted as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Language Teaching faculty by the following advisors:

Advisor I: __________________________
Dr. Agustinus Ngadiman

Advisor II: __________________________
Hendra Tedjasuksmana M.Hum
APPROVAL SHEET

(II)

This thesis entitled The Relationship between the Ability to Guess the Meaning of Unknown Word from Context and the Ability to Comprehend a Text written by Chatarina Ratih Hutami Sanjaya NRP: 1213096064 has been examined by the Committee of Oral Examination with a grade of ______A____ on July 12th, 2000.

Dr. Ignatius Harjanto
Chairman

Drs. B. Budiyono, M. Pd.
Member

Dr. Agustinus Ngadiman
Advisor I

Hendra Tedjasukmana M. Hum
Advisor II

Approved by

Dr. Agustinus Ngadiman
Dean of the Teacher Training College

Dra. Aghes Santi Widiati, M. Pd.
Head of the English Department
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Above all, I praise God for His Grace and Blessing so that this final assignment can be accomplished in the due time. This thesis is dedicated with honor to my mother, Maria Soepeno and with my deepest gratitude to my father, Iwan Sanjaya, and my sister, Mariska Ratri Utami for their abundant love and support.

For the completion of this thesis, first, I would like to acknowledge Sr. Kristofora Nou, OSU, the Head of St. Maria Senior High School, who made all possible. To her goes more than a mark of gratitude. The countless appreciation is also delivered to the third year students of St. Maria senior high school of the school year 1999-2000, who became participants in my research.

I also owe special debt of thanks to those great lecturers who have remarkably helped and given me the encouragements: Dr. Agustinus Ngadiman, Emilia Tjandra, Spd., Hendra Tedjasuksmana M. Hum., Dr. Veronica L. Diptoadi, M. Sc., and all the lecturers of the English Department of Widya Mandala University.

Finally, I would gratefully acknowledge Michael C. Tjandrawidjaja, for all his assistance in editing my thesis. To all my friends, especially Buddy W. Mulya., Yustinus Astanto, ST., Sherly Susan and Nofina Sari, thank you for giving me the best companionship during my study. God bless you.

Chatarina Ratih
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approval Sheet (1)</th>
<th>iii</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approval Sheet (2)</td>
<td>iv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acknowledgment</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table of Contents</td>
<td>vi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of Tables</td>
<td>ix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of Diagrams</td>
<td>xi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstract</td>
<td>xii</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Background of the Study ........................................ 1  
1.2 The Statements of the Problems ................................... 3  
1.3 The Objectives of the Study ..................................... 4  
1.4 Theoretical Framework ........................................... 5  
1.5 The Hypotheses .................................................... 6  
1.6 The Assumptions ................................................. 7  
1.7 The Significance of the Study ................................... 7  
1.8 The Limitation of the study ...................................... 8  
1.9 The Definition of Key-Terms ................................... 8  
1.10 Organization of the Study ........................................ 9  

## CHAPTER II. REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATURE

2.1 Top Down Model or Psycholinguistic Approach of Reading ............................................................ 10 
2.2 The Relation between Vocabulary and the Reading Comprehension .................................................... 14 
2.3 Schema Theory .................................................... 15 
2.4 The Role of Context in Determining a Word Meaning ...... 17 
2.4.1 The Advantage of Making Use Context in Determining a Word Meaning on the Reading Comprehension ............ 18 
2.4.2 Types of Context Clues.................................................... 19 
2.4.3 Types of Discourse Markers..................................... 19 
2.5 Guessing Unknown Word Meaning from Context ........... 22 
2.6 Previous Study .................................................... 24 

## CHAPTER III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design .................................................... 26 
3.2 Populations and Sample .......................................... 27 
3.3 Research Instrument ............................................. 28 
3.4 The Try-Out of the Instrument .................................. 30 
3.4.1 Test Reliability .................................................... 31 
3.4.2 Item Analysis ...................................................... 32 
3.4.2.1 Item Difficulty .................................................... 32 
3.4.2.2 Item Discrimination ......................................... 33 
3.4.3 Test Validity ....................................................... 34
CHAPTER V. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

5.1 Conclusion.......................................................... 70
5.2 Recommendation for Teachers................................. 73
5.3 Recommendation for Further Research..................... 74

Reference ........................................................................ 75

Appendix 1 The Try-Out Results: Guessing Ability Test Scores
Appendix 2 The Try-Out Results: Reading Comprehension Test Scores
Appendix 3 The Calculation of Discrimination Power and Difficulty Index: Guessing Ability Test
Appendix 4 The Calculation of Discrimination Power and Difficulty Index: Reading Comprehension Test
Appendix 5 The Calculation on the Criterion-Predictive Validity of the Guessing Ability Test Scores and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores
Appendix 6 The Guessing Ability Test Scores and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores: Class B
Appendix 7 The Guessing Ability Test and the Reading Comprehension Test: Class B
Appendix 8 The Guessing Ability Test Item Number 1 and the Reading Comprehension Test Item Number 1: Class B
Appendix 9 The Guessing Ability Test Item Number 2 and the Reading Comprehension Test Item Number 2: Class B
Appendix 10 The Guessing Ability Test Item Number 3 and the Reading Comprehension Test Item Number 4: Class B
Appendix 11 The Guessing Ability Test Item Number 4 and the Reading Comprehension Test Item Number 6: Class B
Appendix 12 The Guessing Ability Test Item Number 5 and the Reading Comprehension Test Item Number 5: Class B
Appendix 13 The Guessing Ability Test Item Number 6 and the Reading Comprehension Test Item Number 3: Class B
Appendix 14 The Guessing Ability Test and the Reading Comprehension Test
Appendix 15 The Reading Text
Appendix 16 The Students’ Answers for the Guessing Ability Test and the Reading Comprehension Test
# LIST OF TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tables</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>The Schedule of Trying-Out the Guessing Ability Test and the Reading Comprehension Test as the Research Instrument</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Test Reliabilities</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>The Difficulty Index of the Test Items</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>The Discrimination Power of the Test Items</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>The Criterion-Related Validity of the Tests</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>The Schedule of Collecting the Data</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>The Results of the T-Test for paired Samples</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>The Correlation Coefficient of the Test</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>The Pattern of the Relationship between the Guessing Ability Test Scores and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>The Pattern of the Relationship between the Guessing Ability Test Scores Number 1 and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores Number 1</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>The Pattern of the Relationship between the Guessing Ability Test Scores Number 2 and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores Number 2</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>The Pattern of the Relationship between the Guessing Ability Test Scores Number 3 and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores Number 4</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>The Pattern of the Relationship between the Guessing Ability Test Scores Number 4 and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores Number 6</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>The Pattern of the Relationship between the Guessing Ability Test Scores Number 5 and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores Number 5</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>The Pattern of the Relationship between the Guessing Ability Test Scores Number 6 and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores Number 3</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.10 The Summary of the Prediction Equations with the Guessing Ability Test Scores as the Predictor Variable and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores as the Criterion Variable.

4.11 The Summary of the Prediction Equations with the Guessing Ability Test Scores as the Criterion Variable and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores as the Predictor Variable
### LIST OF DIAGRAMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagram</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>The Pattern of the Relationship between the Guessing Ability Test Scores and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>The Pattern of the Relationship between the Guessing Ability Test Scores Number 1 and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores Number 1</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>The Pattern of the Relationship between the Guessing Ability Test Scores Number 2 and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores Number 2</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>The Pattern of the Relationship between the Guessing Ability Test Scores Number 3 and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores Number 4</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>The Pattern of the Relationship between the Guessing Ability Test Scores Number 4 and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores Number 6</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>The Pattern of the Relationship between the Guessing Ability Test Scores Number 5 and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores Number 5</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>The Pattern of the Relationship between the Guessing Ability Test Scores Number 6 and the Reading Comprehension Test Scores Number 3</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ratih Hutami Sanjaya, Chatarina: “The Relationship between the Ability to Guess the Meaning of Unknown Word from Context and the Ability to Comprehend a Text”

Reading as the most important language skill in the teaching of English as a foreign language is a complex process. It requires many skills and abilities to understand a reading text. Of the most crucial skill is the ability to guess the meaning of unknown word from context. This guessing ability, in fact, determines the reading comprehension by a reader.

Attempting to have a better understanding of this matter, the writer conducts a correlational research to investigate the relationship between the ability to guess the meaning of unknown word from context and the ability to comprehend a text. The population of this research is the third year students of St. Maria senior high school, Surabaya. There are altogether 28 participants in class B as the research sample. With the guessing ability test and the reading comprehension test as the instruments, the data here is the students’ guessing ability test scores and the reading comprehension test scores. This data is then analyzed using statistical tool, including T-test for paired samples, correlation analysis and regression analysis. Since the writer wants to get a clear perspective of the relationship, the calculation is made for the result in overall and in pairs of test items. However, the overall calculation results in the correlation coefficient of 0.97880 and the prediction equations of $RC = 0.14459 + 0.96109 \times GA$ and $GA = 0.11956 + 0.99705 \times RC$.

Based on the results of her research, the writer finds that the ability to guess the meaning of unknown word from context is closely related to the ability to comprehend a text. There are no significant differences between the students’ performances in the guessing ability and the reading comprehension skill. The students’ with good guessing abilities comprehend the text better than those whose abilities are lower. Whereas, the students who can comprehend a reading text well are also able to make more accurate guesses on the unknown word meaning than those who cannot.

The students’ guessing ability is proven to determine their reading comprehension skills as well. This is due to the vital role of guessing ability in reading comprehension process as it involves generalizable skill of interpreting surrounding text, predicting and testing prediction while reading. Here, predicting or inference is needed to understand the implicit meaning of a text and to allow further thought and meaning reconstruction by its reader using the information provided in the text.

In addition, the students’ reading comprehension overall skill is also proven to influence their guessing ability. This is because the reading comprehension skill including the excellence in problem solving strategy determines the attitudes and reaction brought by a reader toward his effort to understand a text. A good reader is active in selecting the cues that are important for a comprehension and is critical in understanding the passage. He also encourages himself to practice predicting while reading which leads to the improvement in the quality of his guessing ability.